

SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND

Attorney Grievance Comm'n v. Larson-Jackson

AG No. 40, September Term, 2025 · No. AG No. 40, September Term, 2025 · Decided May 26, 2026

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Maryland immediately suspended Steve Larson-Jackson from practicing law in Maryland. The suspension was based on his temporary suspension from practice in the District of Columbia pending final disposition of disciplinary proceedings there, pursuant to Maryland Rule 19-737(d).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Maryland
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam
JURISDICTION	Supreme Court of Maryland
DECIDED	May 26, 2026
DOCKET	AG No. 40, September Term, 2025
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The Attorney Grievance Commission petitioned for disciplinary or remedial action after the respondent was temporarily suspended from practicing law in the District of Columbia. The Supreme Court of Maryland ordered the respondent to show cause why he should not be immediately suspended in Maryland pending final disposition of the District of Columbia proceedings.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published

TOPICS

[administrative law](#)[agency adjudication](#)[remedies](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

[attorney discipline](#)[professional responsibility](#)[reciprocal discipline](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the respondent should be immediately suspended from practicing law in Maryland under Maryland Rule 19-737(d) while disciplinary proceedings remain pending in the District of Columbia.

HOLDINGS

1. Pursuant to Maryland Rule 19-737(d), the respondent was immediately suspended from the practice of law in Maryland pending final disposition by the District of Columbia Court of Appeals.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 19-737(d), Respondent, Steve Larson-Jackson, is immediately suspended from the practice of law in the State of Maryland”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Steve Larson-Jackson was temporarily suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia pending final disposition of disciplinary proceedings there. The Attorney Grievance Commission sought corresponding immediate suspension in Maryland, and respondent submitted a response to the Maryland Court's show-cause order.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Attorney Grievance Commission filed a petition for disciplinary or remedial action and requested immediate suspension. After the Court issued a show-cause order and considered the petition, request, and respondent's response, it immediately suspended respondent from practicing law in Maryland pending final disposition by the District of Columbia Court of Appeals.

DISPOSITION

other