

INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

State of Hawai‘i v. Francis Grandinetti

Grandinetti · No. CAAP-25-0000123 · Decided February 12, 2026

SUMMARY

The Intermediate Court of Appeals of Hawai‘i dismissed Defendant-Appellant Francis Grandinetti’s request for reconsideration of an earlier order under Hawai‘i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(e). The court concluded that it lacked jurisdiction to address the motion’s other requests for relief, including requests characterized as involving habeas corpus or other appellate relief.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Katherine G. Leonard, Presiding Judge; Keith K. Hiraoka, Associate Judge; Clyde J. Wadsworth, Associate Judge
JURISDICTION	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
DECIDED	February 12, 2026
DOCKET	CAAP-25-0000123
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant-Appellant sought reconsideration of the Intermediate Court of Appeals' August 27, 2025 order denying his August 19, 2025 motion for reconsideration and other relief, including requests for habeas corpus or other appellate relief.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Not for publication in the West's Hawai‘i Reports and Pacific Reporter
PARTIES	Francis Grandinetti v. State of Hawai‘i

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- appellate jurisdiction
- writ of certiorari
- habeas corpus
- post-conviction relief

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- criminal procedure
- habeas corpus
- post-conviction relief

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Grandinetti's request for reconsideration of the Intermediate Court of Appeals' August 27, 2025 order could be considered.
2. Whether the Intermediate Court of Appeals had jurisdiction to address Grandinetti's other requests for relief.

HOLDINGS

1. The request for reconsideration of the Intermediate Court of Appeals' August 27, 2025 order was dismissed under Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(e).
2. The court lacked jurisdiction to address the other requests for relief in Grandinetti's motion.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The court lacks jurisdiction to address the other requests for relief in the Motion.” (Order)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Grandinetti filed a motion requesting reconsideration of an earlier appellate order and seeking habeas corpus or other relief. The court considered the motion, supporting papers, and record. The material facts were procedural rather than substantive.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The appeal arose from the District Court of the Third Circuit, North and South Hilo Division. Grandinetti, appearing self-represented, filed an October 6, 2025 motion seeking reconsideration, habeas corpus, or other appellate relief. The Intermediate Court of Appeals dismissed the reconsideration request and concluded that it lacked jurisdiction over the remaining requests.

DISPOSITION

dismissed