

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Emoni Wilson v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., et al.

Wilson v. Experian · No. 2:25-cv-00672-TLN-CKD · Decided September 10, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopts the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations after Plaintiff Emoni Wilson filed no objections. The action against Experian Information Solutions, Inc., and others is dismissed for failure to prosecute under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), and the Clerk is directed to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	September 10, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-00672-TLN-CKD
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations after Plaintiff failed to file objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Factual findings are presumed correct; conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; unpublished district court order
PARTIES	Emoni Wilson v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., et al.

TOPICS

credit reporting civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Federal civil procedure Consumer credit reporting

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations when no objections were filed.

2. Whether the action should be dismissed for failure to prosecute under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in full because they were supported by the record and proper analysis, and no objections were filed.
2. The action was dismissed for failure to prosecute under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Emoni Wilson proceeded pro se in the action. After the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations and served them with notice of the fourteen-day objection period, Plaintiff filed no objections before the deadline expired.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was referred to a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. The magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations on August 6, 2025, recommending disposition of the action; Plaintiff was served and did not object within the allotted fourteen days. The district court adopted the findings and recommendations in full, dismissed the action for failure to prosecute, and directed the Clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)

OPINION

Emoni Wilson v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., et al.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

10 EMONI WILSON, No. 2:25-cv-00672-TLN-CKD

11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER

13 EXPERIAN INFORMATION

SOLUTIONS, INC., et al, 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 Plaintiff Emoni Wilson (“Plaintiff”) proceeds in this action pro se. This matter was 18 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 19 302. On August 06, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No. 4), 20 which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and 21 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 4.) No objections were 22 filed and the time to do so has passed. 23 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 24 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 25 *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007). This Court has reviewed the file and 26 finds the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. The 27 Court therefore concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. 28 /// 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 4) are ADOPTED in full; 3 2. This action is dismissed for failure to prosecute (see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b)); and 4 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 5 Date: September 9, 2025 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28