

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
NORTH CAROLINA

Edwards v. TransUnion LLC

Edwards · No. 4:25-CV-173-FL · Decided November 21, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina adopted a magistrate judge’s recommendation and dismissed Doniesha Edwards’s pro se complaint against TransUnion LLC without prejudice. The dismissal was for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), and the clerk was directed to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Clara W. Flanagan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| DECIDED               | November 21, 2025                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| DOCKET                | 4:25-CV-173-FL                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| DISPOSITION           | dismissed                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | The district court conducted screening review of plaintiff's pro se complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), considered a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation recommending dismissal, and entered an order adopting the recommendation and dismissing the action without prejudice. |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW    | When no objections are filed to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation, the district court reviews the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions for clear error.                                                                                                              |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | unpublished district court order                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| PARTIES               | Doniesha Edwards v. TransUnion LLC                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |

TOPICS

- pleadings
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation when plaintiff filed no objections.
2. Whether plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

## HOLDINGS

1. When no objections are filed to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation, the district court reviews the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions for clear error and may adopt the recommendation without further explanation.
2. The complaint must be dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*“Because no objections have been filed, the court reviews the magistrate judge’s findings and conclusions only for clear error, and need not give any explanation for adopting the M&R.”*

*“The court hereby ADOPTS the recommendation of the magistrate judge as its own, and plaintiff’s action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to state a claim, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).”*

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning the underlying dispute. Doniesha Edwards filed a pro se complaint against TransUnion LLC, which the magistrate judge recommended dismissing for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed a pro se complaint. United States Magistrate Judge Robert B. Jones, Jr., issued a memorandum and recommendation recommending dismissal for failure to state a claim. Plaintiff filed no objections, so the district court reviewed the recommendation for clear error, adopted it, dismissed the action without prejudice, and directed the clerk to close the case.

## DISPOSITION

dismissed

## CASES CITED

- Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Insurance Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005)
- Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983)

