

LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

Michael N. Pittman, et al. v. OAT Trustee, LLC, in its capacity as
Trustee for Girod Titling Trust

No. 2025 CW 0863 (La. Ct. App. 2025) · No. 2025 CW 0863 · Decided December 11, 2025

SUMMARY

The Louisiana Court of Appeal, First Circuit, declined to consider a supervisory writ application arising from the 22nd Judicial District Court in St. Tammany Parish. The application omitted pertinent minutes and a required hearing transcript, violating appellate court rules; the court stated that any new application must include the missing materials and be filed by January 12, 2026.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Louisiana Court of Appeal, First Circuit
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Theriot, J.; Penzato, J.; Balfour, J.
JURISDICTION	Louisiana Court of Appeal, First Circuit
DECIDED	December 11, 2025
DOCKET	2025 CW 0863
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Relators applied for supervisory writs from the Twenty-Second Judicial District Court for the Parish of St. Tammany. The Louisiana Court of Appeal, First Circuit, declined to consider the writ application because it lacked required documentation.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; the opinion is a one-page writ ruling and no precedential designation appears in the source.
PARTIES	Michael N. Pittman, Amy Schoultz Pittman, Marcus L. Pittman, III, Pittman Assets, L.L.C., Pittman Assets MSSC, L.L.C., Ozone Properties, L.L.C., Shadrack Enterprises, L.L.C., Medical Center Diagnostics, L.L.C., Bullet Advertising, L.L.C., Marcus L. Pittman, III, in his capacity as Trustee for Michael Norton Pittman Family Trust v. OAT Trustee, LLC, in its capacity as Trustee for Girod Titling Trust

TOPICS

- writ of certiorari
- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- trusts
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

appellate procedure

civil procedure

trusts

commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should consider a supervisory-writ application that omits the pertinent minutes and hearing transcript required by the Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal.

HOLDINGS

1. The court would not consider the supervisory-writ application because it failed to include the pertinent minutes and a hearing transcript required for review.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“WRIT NOT CONSIDERED. The writ application did not include a copy of the pertinent minutes in violation of Rule 4-5(C) (10) of the Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The relators sought supervisory writs in a matter involving Michael N. Pittman and related individuals and entities, including a family-trust trustee, against OAT Trustee, LLC, as trustee for Girod Titling Trust. The writ application did not include a copy of the pertinent minutes or the hearing transcript required for appellate review.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The relators sought supervisory review of a matter pending in the Twenty-Second Judicial District Court, Parish of St. Tammany, docket number 2023-11066. The appellate court found that the writ application omitted pertinent minutes and a hearing transcript, in violation of the Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal. The court stated that supplementation or rehearing would not be considered and allowed any new application containing the required materials to be filed by January 12, 2026.

DISPOSITION

other

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The court did not remand the matter. If relators file a new writ application, it must include all pertinent documentation, including the missing minutes and hearing transcript, comply with Rule 2-12.2, include a copy of this ruling, and be filed by January 12, 2026.