

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
WISCONSIN

**Triosim Corporation v. Somarakis, Inc., John Somarakis, and SI
Post, Inc.**

Triosim · No. 24-CV-382 · Decided December 18, 2025

SUMMARY

The Eastern District of Wisconsin grants Triosim Corporation’s motion to compel John Somarakis to provide complete interrogatory responses and comply with requests for production, because the defendants did not oppose the motion. The court denies without prejudice the portion seeking enforcement of subpoenas directed to Somarakis, Inc. and SI Post, Inc., concluding that compliance was required in the Western District of Washington. The court also sets April 15, 2026, as the deadline for any motion for default judgment or request for a default-judgment hearing.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN TRIOSIM CORPORATION, Plaintiff, v. Case No. 24-CV-382 SOMARAKIS, INC., JOHN SOMARAKIS, and SI POST, INC., Defendants. ORDER Triosim asks the court to compel defendant John Somarakis “to provide complete responses to Plaintiff’s First Set of Interrogatories and Plaintiff’s First Set of Requests for Production.” (ECF No. 53 at 1.)

The defendants have not responded to Triosim’s motion to compel. See Civ. L.R. 7(h) (requiring response to be filed within seven days). Accordingly, the motion to compel (ECF No. 53) is granted as unopposed. See *Hobbs v. Am. Commercial Barge Line LLC*, No. 4:22-cv-00063-TWP-KMB, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171310, at *9 (S.D. Ind. Sep. 26, 2023). Within 28 days of this order John Somarakis shall provide complete responses to Plaintiff’s First Set of Interrogatories and fully comply with Plaintiff’s First Set of Requests for Production.

Any request for expenses related to this motion to compel, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(B), shall be filed within seven days of the date of this order. Any response must be filed within seven days thereafter. Triosim has also moved the court to compel defendants Somarakis, Inc., and SI Post, Inc. to respond to its subpoenas. (ECF No. 53 at 1.) “At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.” Fed.

R. Civ. P. 45(d)(2)(B)(i) (emphasis added); see also *Rayburn v. Apogee Enters.*, No. 23-cv-744-jdp, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 104810, at *3 (W.D. Wis. June 12, 2024); *Lymon v. UAW Local*

Union #2209, No. 1:20-cv-000169-HAB-SLC, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 233909, at *2 (N.D. Ind. Dec. 7, 2021).

The subpoenas required compliance in the Western District of Washington. (ECF Nos. 53-3 at 2; 53-4 at 2.) Consequently, Triosim has failed to demonstrate that its motion related to the enforcement of the subpoenas is properly before the court.

Accordingly, that aspect of Triosim's motion (ECF No. 53) is denied without prejudice. Finally, as to Triosim's request regarding scheduling (ECF No. 47), any motion for default judgment or request for a hearing regarding default judgment shall be filed no later than April 15, 2026. SO ORDERED. Dated at Green Bay, Wisconsin this 18th day of December, 2025. s/ Byron B. Conway BYRON B. CONWAY U.S. District Judge