

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Jackson v. Hill

No. 2:24-cv-3180-JDP (P), Order to Show Cause (E.D. Cal. May 6, 2025) · No. 2:24-cv-3180-JDP (P) · Decided
May 6, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California ordered plaintiff Tommie Lee Jackson to show cause why his action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. The court directed him to file an amended complaint and respond within twenty-one days, warning that failure to do so would result in a recommendation of dismissal.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 TOMMIE LEE JACKSON, Case No. 2:24-cv-3180-JDP (P) 12 Plaintiff, 13
v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 14 RICK HILL, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On March 6, 2025, I
granted plaintiff’s motion to amend his complaint and ordered him to 18 file an amended
complaint within thirty days.

ECF No. 6. To date, plaintiff has not filed any 19 response. 20 The court has the inherent power to
control its docket and may, in the exercise of that 21 power, impose sanctions where appropriate,
including dismissal. *Bautista v. Los Angeles Cnty.*, 22 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); see
Local Rule 110 (“Failure of counsel or of a party to 23 comply with these Rules or with any order
of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the 24 Court of any and all sanctions... within the
inherent power of the Court.”).

A court may dismiss 25 an action based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action, failure to obey
a court order, or failure 26 to comply with local rules. See *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421,
1424 (9th Cir. 1986) 27 (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).
28 I will give plaintiff a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his 1 |
failure to file an amended complaint.

Plaintiffs failure to respond to this order will constitute a 2 | failure to comply with a court order
and will result in a recommendation that this action be 3 | dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is
ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case 4 | should not be dismissed for failure
to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. Should 5 | plaintiff wish to continue with this
lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an amended 6 | complaint.

7 g IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _ May 6, 2025 q—— 10 JEREMY D. PETERSON i UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/jackson-v-hill-agwc8hhl>