

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS

360 Degrees Beauty Academy No. 1, Inc., and All Occupants v. J. Pal Properties, Inc.

360 Degrees Beauty Academy · No. 01-26-00359-CV · Decided June 16, 2026

SUMMARY

The Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas dismissed the appeal because it lacked jurisdiction over a judgment originating in justice court. The court explained that the appeal from the denial of a writ of re-entry and breach-of-contract claim should have proceeded to the county civil court rather than the court of appeals, and dismissed pending motions as moot.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Chief Justice Adams; Justice Rivas-Molloy; Justice Guiney
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
DECIDED	June 16, 2026
DOCKET	01-26-00359-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from a County Civil Court at Law judgment involving an attempted appeal from a justice court proceeding.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Memorandum opinion; precedential status is not specified in the opinion text.
PARTIES	360 Degrees Beauty Academy No. 1, Inc., All Occupants v. J. Pal Properties, Inc.

TOPICS

[appellate jurisdiction](#) [appellate procedure](#) [civil procedure](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

[appellate jurisdiction](#) [civil procedure](#) [real estate](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the First Court of Appeals had jurisdiction over an appeal from a judgment originating in a justice court.

HOLDINGS

1. A Texas court of appeals does not have jurisdiction over an appeal from a judgment of a justice court; because this appeal was mistakenly filed in the court of appeals, the court dismissed it.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“A court of appeals does not have jurisdiction over a judgment from a justice court.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

360 Degrees Beauty Academy No. 1 pursued a writ of re-entry and breach-of-contract claim arising from a justice court proceeding. It attempted to appeal the denial of that relief to the County Civil Court at Law, but the appeal was mistakenly sent to the court of appeals.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

360 Degrees Beauty Academy No. 1 attempted to appeal the denial of its writ of re-entry and breach-of-contract claim from the justice court to County Civil Court at Law No. 5 of Harris County under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 510.10. The appeal was mistakenly filed in the First Court of Appeals. After notifying the parties that the appeal was subject to dismissal for lack of jurisdiction, and receiving no response, the court dismissed the appeal and pending motions as moot.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Lynch v. Atkinson, No. 01-24-00177-CV, 2024 WL 3995445, at *1 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] Aug. 30, 2024, no pet.) (mem. op.)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Opinion issued June 16, 2026 In The Court of Appeals For The First District of Texas NO. 01-26-00359-CV 360 DEGREES BEAUTY ACADEMY NO. 1, INC., AND ALL OCCUPANTS, Appellants V. J. PAL PROPERTIES, INC., Appellee On Appeal from the County Civil Court at Law No. 5 Harris County, Texas Trial Court Case No. 1274566 MEMORANDUM OPINION Appellant 360 Degrees Beauty Academy No. 1 attempted to appeal the denial of its writ of re-entry and breach of contract claim from the Justice Court, Precinct 4, Place 1, to County Civil Court at Law No. 5 of Harris County.

See TEX. R. CIV. P. 510.10. The appeal was mistakenly sent to this Court. The Court concluded it lacks jurisdiction over this appeal. See *Lynch v. Atkinson*, No. 01-24-00177-CV, 2024 WL 3995445, at *1 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] Aug. 30, 2024, no pet.) (mem. op.) (“A court of appeals does not have jurisdiction over a judgment from a justice court.”).

The Court notified the parties that this appeal was subject to dismissal. No party has filed a response to the notice. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3. We dismiss any pending motions as moot. PER CURIAM Panel consists of Chief Justice Adams and Justices Rivas-Molloy and Guiney. 2