

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WISCONSIN

**Willie C. Simpson v. Joshua Kaul, Andrea L. Omanson, Paula
Stoudt, D. Goff, S. Ellis, Ellen Ray, Jaden McCullick, Daniel Leffler,
Julie Payne, Co Fredrick, Thomas Taylor, Co Turner, Co Halverson,
Co Johnston, Co VanHauen, C. Tom, Co Kropp, Sgt. Mazzenette,
Sgt. Sherman, Co Rose, Co Klatt, Co Buzzelli, and A. Titlbach; Willie
C. Simpson v. Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Tony Evers,
Jared Hoy, Paula Stoudt, Jaden L. McCullick, and Joshua Kaul;
Willie C. Simpson v. Warden Paula Stoudt**

No. 25-cv-994-jdp; 26-cv-25-jdp; 26-cv-44-jdp, United States District Court for the Western District of
Wisconsin (March 27, 2026)

OPINION

Willie C. Simpson v. Joshua Kaul, Andrea L. Omanson, Paula Stoudt, D. Goff, S. Ellis, Ellen Ray, Jaden McCullick, Daniel Leffler, Julie Payne, Co Fredrick, Thomas Taylor, Co Turner, Co Halverson, Co Johnston, Co VanHauen, C. Tom, Co Kropp, Sgt. Mazzenette, Sgt. Sherman, Co Rose, Co Klatt, Co Buzzelli, and A. Titlbach; Willie C. Simpson v. Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Tony Evers, Jared Hoy, Paula Stoudt, Jaden L. McCullick, and Joshua Kaul; Willie C. Simpson v.

PER CURIAM.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

WILLIE C. SIMPSON,

Plaintiff, v.

JOSHUA KAUL, ANDREA L. OMANSON

PAULA STOUDT, D. GOFF, S. ELLIS, ELLEN RAY, ORDER

JADEN MCCULLICK, DANIEL LEFFLER,

JULIE PAYNE, CO FREDRICK, THOMAS TAYLOR, 25-cv-994-jdp

CO TURNER, CO HALVERSON, CO JOHNSTON,

CO VANHAUEN, C. TOM, CO KROPP,

SGT. MAZZENETTE, SGT. SHERMAN, CO ROSE,

CO KLATT, CO BUZZELLI, and A. TITLBACH,

Defendants.

WILLIE C. SIMPSON,

Plaintiff, v.

ORDER

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

26-cv-25-jdp

TONY EVERS, JARED HOY, PAULA STOUDT,

JADEN L. MCCULLICK, and JOSHUA KAUL,

Defendants.

WILLIE C. SIMPSON,

Petitioner,

ORDER

v. 26-cv-44-jdp

WARDEN PAULA STOUDT,

Respondent. Willie Simpson, proceeding without counsel, filed these three lawsuits complaining of conditions at WSPF and that he is being imprisoned unlawfully because the criminal statutes under which he was convicted were later repealed. I dismissed each of these cases under filing bars issued by the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Dkt. 5 in Case No. 25-cv-994-jdp (citing *Simpson v. Litscher*, No. 20-3293, 2021 WL 2206439 (7th Cir. Mar. 19, 2021) (barring Simpson from filing any papers except habeas petitions and motions in criminal cases until he pays \$1,000 fine); *Simpson v. Boughton*, No. 23-2638 (7th Cir. Sept. 18, 2023) (barring him from filing any collateral attack on his Wisconsin criminal convictions or sentences until he pays \$1,500 fine)). Simpson now moves to alter or amend the judgments in these cases under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). To win on this motion, Simpson “must present either newly discovered evidence or establish a manifest error of law or fact.” *Oto v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.*, 224 F.3d 601, 606 (7th Cir. 2000). Simpson does not meet this standard so I will deny his motion. Simpson doesn’t argue that I incorrectly applied the court of appeals’ filing-bar sanctions orders. Rather, he argues that I disregarded his right to a jury trial by dismissing the cases under those sanctions orders; he appears to believe that the sanctions orders themselves are unconstitutional. None of the authorities he cites support that proposition, which is unsurprising because sanctions orders of this kind have never been found unconstitutional. And in any event, this district court cannot overrule the court of appeals’ sanctions decisions.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff’s motion to alter or amend the judgments in these cases, Dkt. 5 in Case No. 25-cv-994-jdp, is DENIED. Entered March 27, 2026. BY THE COURT: /s/

JAMES D. PETERSON

District Judge

