

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

Roel L. v. Leland Dudek

Roel L. · No. 2:25-CV-00083 · Decided March 6, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas adopts a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation in a Social Security action. The court denies Roel L.'s construed motion for summary judgment, grants the Commissioner's construed motion, and dismisses the action after finding no clear error and receiving no timely objections.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT March 06, 2026 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION ROEL L.,1 § § Plaintiff, § § VS. § CIVIL
ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00083 § LELAND DUDEK, § § Defendant. § ORDER ADOPTING
MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION On February 10, 2026, United States Magistrate
Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her "Memorandum and Recommendation" (D.E.

14), recommending that Roel's construed motion for summary judgment (D.E. 9) be denied, the
Commissioner's construed motion for summary judgment (D.E. 12) be granted, and this cause of
action be dismissed. The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the
Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)
(1); General Order No. 2002-13.

No objections have been timely filed. When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's
memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is
no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and 1
Pursuant to the May 1, 2018 "Memorandum Re: Privacy Concern Regarding Social Security and
Immigration Opinions" issued by the Committee on Court Administration and Case Management
of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this order uses only Plaintiff's first name and last
initial. recommendation.

Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc., 434 F.3d 303, 308 (Sth Cir. 2005) (citing Douglass v. United Servs.
Auto Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (Sth Cir. 1996)). Having reviewed the findings of fact and
conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation (D.E.
14), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court ADOPTS
as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, Roel's motion (D.E. 9) is DENIED, the Commissioner's motion (D.E. 12) is GRANTED, and this cause of action is DISMISSED. ORDERED on March 6, 2026. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 2/2

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-texas-corpus-christi-division-united-state/2026/roel-l-v-leland-dudek-ameljkc7>