

INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

Pacific Hawaii Food Service LLC v. Yang

Pacific Hawaii Food · No. CAAP-24-0000078 · Decided March 16, 2026

SUMMARY

The Intermediate Court of Appeals of Hawai‘i denied Defendant-Appellant Jin Quan Yang’s motion to reconsider the court’s March 2, 2026 Summary Disposition Order. The court concluded that the motion identified no point of law or fact that it had overlooked or misapprehended under Hawai‘i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(b).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per curiam; Keith K. Hiraoka; Sonja M.P. McCullen; Kimberly T. Guidry
JURISDICTION	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
DECIDED	March 16, 2026
DOCKET	CAAP-24-0000078
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant-Appellant moved for reconsideration of the Intermediate Court of Appeals' March 2, 2026 summary disposition order.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	A motion for reconsideration under Hawai‘i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(b) is denied when it presents no point of law or fact that the court overlooked or misapprehended.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Jin Quan Yang v. Pacific Hawaii Food Service LLC

TOPICS

- motion for reconsideration
- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the motion for reconsideration identified a point of law or fact that the Intermediate Court of Appeals had overlooked or misapprehended under Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(b).

HOLDINGS

1. The motion for reconsideration was properly denied because it presented no point of law or fact that the court had overlooked or misapprehended.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“it appears that the motion presents no point of law or fact we overlooked or misapprehended.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The order concerns only Defendant-Appellant Jin Quan Yang's motion to reconsider the appellate court's March 2, 2026 summary disposition order. The opinion does not recite the underlying substantive facts of the dispute.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The appeal arose from the Circuit Court of the Second Circuit. After the Intermediate Court of Appeals entered a summary disposition order, Defendant-Appellant Jin Quan Yang filed a motion to reconsider. The court denied the motion after determining that it identified no point of law or fact the court had overlooked or misapprehended.

DISPOSITION

other