

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Stephens v. United States Corp., et al.

Stephens · No. 25-cv-0582-AGS-DDL · Decided July 30, 2025

OPINION

Stephens v. United States Corporation

PER CURIAM.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 || Timothy STEPHENS, Case No.: 25-cv-0582-AGS-DDL

4 Pant ORDER GRANTING IFP MOTION

5 || Vv. (ECF 2) AND DISMISSING

6 || UNITED STATES CORP., et al., COMPLAINT

7 Defendants. 8 Plaintiff moves to proceed without prepaying the court-filing fees. If granted the
7 right to proceed in forma pauperis, plaintiffs need not prepay the usual \$402 in fees. See 10
Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999); 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). Stephens’s i only
income, disability payments, hardly exceeds his monthly expenses (see ECF 2, at 2), 2 so he may
proceed without prepayment, see Blount v. Saul, No. 21-CV-0679-BLM, 2021 WL 1561453, at *1
(S.D. Cal. Apr. 21, 2021) (“[A] party need not be completely destitute to proceed IFP.”). IS Now
the Court must screen the complaint and dismiss it if it is “frivolous” or “fails 16 to state a claim.”
28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). Stephens alleges he was “ANTAGONIZED” “BY FREEMASONS?”
with “GOV’T” help, and he requests “televised military tribunals.” (ECF 1, at 4-5.) But aside
from a broad allegation that he was “ATTACKED VERBALLY 19 AND TERRORIZED” after he
“BECAME A PSYCHIC,” he provides no facts that support 20 his claims—which are premised
upon a deprivation of “AM[J]ENDMENTS 1, 4, 5, 6 a1 (KANGAROO) 8, 9, 13, 14.” Ud.) These
allegations do not state a claim, and the requested 22 remedy likely exceeds this Court’s
jurisdiction. Stephens’s IFP request is GRANTED, but 23 his complaint is DISMISSED without
prejudice and with leave to amend. Any amended 24 complaint is due by September 10, 2025. 25
Dated: July 30, 2025 iG 26 Hon. Andrew G. Schopler United States District Judge 28