

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Soma Peterson v. One Community Health

Peterson · No. 3:23-cv-01520-YY · Decided June 18, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Oregon adopted a magistrate judge’s Findings and Recommendation recommending summary judgment for One Community Health. After conducting de novo review of the plaintiff’s objections and the relevant record, the court granted the defendant’s motion for summary judgment.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Oregon
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Baggio, District Judge
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Oregon
DECIDED	June 18, 2026
DOCKET	3:23-cv-01520-YY
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff objected to a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation recommending summary judgment for Defendant. The district court conducted de novo review, adopted the Findings and Recommendation, and granted Defendant's motion for summary judgment.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court must make a de novo determination of any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation to which a party timely objects.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown
PARTIES	Soma Peterson v. One Community Health

TOPICS

- summary judgment
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil rights
- employment discrimination
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court properly reviewed de novo the portions of the magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation to which Plaintiff objected.
2. Whether the Findings and Recommendation recommending summary judgment for One Community Health should be adopted and the defendant's motion for summary judgment granted.

HOLDINGS

1. When a party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion.
2. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation and granted One Community Health's motion for summary judgment.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion.” (at 1)

“The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge You’s Findings and Recommendation [48]. Therefore, Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment [32] is GRANTED.” (at 1-2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion concerns a civil-rights employment-discrimination action brought by Soma Peterson against One Community Health. The court's order addresses the defendant's motion for summary judgment and the plaintiff's objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation that the motion be granted. The order does not recite additional material underlying facts because it adopts the Findings and Recommendation without modification.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued Findings and Recommendation on February 17, 2026, recommending that One Community Health's motion for summary judgment be granted. Soma Peterson timely objected. The district court reviewed the challenged portions de novo, found no error, adopted the Findings and Recommendation, and granted the motion.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009)
- United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc)