

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Sewell v. Franklin Credit Management Corporation, et al.

Sewell · No. 2:24-cv-02241 DJC CKD (PS) · Decided March 11, 2025

OPINION

(PS) Sewell v. Franklin Credit Management Corporation

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 MARVIN A. SEWELL, No. 2:24-cv-02241 DJC CKD (PS)

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 FRANKLIN CREDIT MANAGEMENT

CORPORATION, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. This proceeding was 18 referred to this court by Local Rule 302(c)(21). 19 On February 6, 2025, the undersigned issued an order to show cause why this action 20 should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff was advised that failure to allege a 21 proper basis for jurisdiction would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. 22 Plaintiff has not filed a response. 23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of 24 jurisdiction. 25 In light of these recommendations, IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that all pleading, 26 discovery, and motion practice in this action are STAYED pending resolution of the findings and 27 recommendations. With the exception of objections to the findings and recommendations and 28 any non-frivolous motions for emergency relief, the court will not entertain or respond to any 1 | motions and other filings until the findings and recommendations are resolved. 2 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 3 || assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 4 || after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 5 || with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections 6 || to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file 7 || objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. 8 | Martinez v. YIst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 9 | Dated: March 11, 2025 / hice ANKE) flo

"0 CAROLYNK.DELANEY/

11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 13 14 15 16 || 2/sewe224 1 .f&rs-nojuris 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/sewell-v-franklin-credit-management-corporation-et-al-b50rp8y0>