

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
DAKOTA, SOUTHERN DIVISION

Rindahl v. Magstadt

No. 4:26-CV-04024-RAL · No. 4:26-CV-04024-RAL · Decided March 25, 2026

OPINION

Rindahl v. Magstadt

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SOUTHERN DIVISION ~~

RANDY LEE RINDAHL, .

4:26-CV-04024-RAL

Plaintiff, MELISSA MAGSTADT, Secretary of ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S Department
of Health; STATE OF SOUTH REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY

DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF INJUNCTION OR TEMPORARY

CORRECTIONS HEALTH SERVICES; “- RESTRAINING ORDER

UNKNOWN CORRECTIONAL HEALTH

SERVICES CLINIC SUPERVISOR; A. □

HAYNES, Medical Director; M. RECTOR, MD, Medical Provider; A. WELBIG, Certified Nurse
Practitioner; S. BLACK, Certified Nurse Practitioner; J. KNUTSON, Certified Nurse Practitioner;

S. GOTTSLEBEN, APRN; _ DESIRARE KUMMER, Registered Nurse; LISA HARLAN,
Registered Nurse; LEANNE □ PETERSON, Registered Nurse; JOSIE RAND, . Registered Nurse
/ X-Ray Technician;

UNKNOWN JANE AND JOHN DOE

NURSES; LARRY RHODEN, State of South Dakota Governor; STATE OF SOUTH ;

DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF

CORRECTIONS; A: PIRRAGLIA, Director of Prisons; J. ROEMMICH, Warden for SDSP; R.
JOHNSTON, Facility Warden; AVERA

MCKENNAN HOSPITAL ((ANE/JOHN DOE

PERSONNEL BOARD OF DIRECTORS / ot

TRUSTEES); S. WOODWARD, MD,

Radiology — Vice Chair of AMG Radiology; JANE / JOHN DOE, Personnel Radiologist working
for AveraiMcKenna Hospital (7/07/2025); JANE /JOHN DOE, Personnel working for
Avera‘McKenna Hospital; |.

AVERA ST. LUKE’S HOSPITAL (JANE/

JOHN DOE PERSONNEL BOARD OF

DIRECTORS / TRUSTEES); L. LENTER, MD, . Radiologist, PETER WEI, MD, Radiologist;
YASSINE KANAAN, MD, Radiologist; J. LUZIER, MD, Radiologist; JANE /JOHN DOE,
Personnel working for Avera St. Luke's Hospital; AVERA LINCOLN COUNTY (JANE
/ JOHN DOE PERSONNEL BOARD OF

DIRECTORS / TRUSTEE); JANE / JOHN

DOE, Personnel Radiologist working for Avera Lincoln County (1/31/2025 & 12/19/2025),
Defendants. Plaintiff Randy Lee Rindahl, an inmate at the South Dakota State Penitentiary, has
filed material that appears to be seeking a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction
in this case. Docs. 7, 7-1. His pleading does not satisfy the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)
for a temporary restraining order because there is no clear showing of immediate injury prior to
when Defendants can be heard. Indeed, Rindahl describes the Dataphase factors in his
memorandum, Doc. 7, and submits a draft order seeking to set a hearing on whether he is entitled
to relief, Doc. 7-1. See *Dataphase Sys., Inc. v. C L Sys., Inc.*, 640 F.2d 109, 113 (8th Cir. 1981) (en
banc). As for the requested hearing and preliminary injunction, Rindahl's submission seems to
take issue with the selection of his cellmate. See Doc. 7 at 1-2. He references the Prison Rape
Elimination Act ("PREA") but does not clearly identify a violation of the PREA. See generally
Docs. 7, 7-1. Federal courts do not micromanage cell placements of state inmates. Absent clearer
reasons given, it is ORDERED that Rindahl's request for a preliminary injunction or temporary
restraining order, Doc. 7, is denied. DATED March aS, 2026. BY THE COURT:

ROBERTO A. LANGE

CHIEF JUDGE