

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

**Danielle S. Brissett, et al. v. Enterprise Leasing Company-West LLC,  
et al.**

Brissett · No. 2:24-cv-01382-BNW · Decided January 9, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Nevada granted Plaintiffs’ motion to seal exhibits related to petitions for approval of settlements involving three minors. The court found compelling reasons to seal the exhibits because they contained personal identifying information and confidential settlement-related information, and it deemed the motion to shorten time moot.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the District of Nevada                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Brenda Weksler                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the District of Nevada                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| DECIDED               | January 9, 2026                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| DOCKET                | 2:24-cv-01382-BNW                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| DISPOSITION           | other                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | Plaintiffs moved to seal exhibits attached to three petitions for approval of minor compromises and moved to shorten time. The district court granted the motion to seal and found the motion to shorten time moot.                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW    | A party seeking to seal judicial records must overcome the strong presumption of public access. For records related to dispositive matters, or matters more than tangentially related to the merits, the party must show compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings; the lesser good-cause standard applies to non-dispositive materials only when they are not more than tangentially related to the merits. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | Unknown                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| PARTIES               | Danielle S. Brissett, et al. v. Enterprise Leasing Company-West LLC, et al.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

## TOPICS

civil procedure

remedies

## PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

privacy

settlement approval

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether exhibits attached to petitions for approval of minor compromises should be sealed under the compelling-reasons standard.
2. Whether the motion to shorten time should be granted or otherwise resolved.

## HOLDINGS

1. The exhibits should be sealed because they contain personal identifying information concerning the plaintiffs, including minors, and compelling reasons therefore outweigh the presumption of public access.
2. The motion to shorten time was moot.

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*“Generally, the public has a right to inspect and copy judicial records.”* (at 1)

*“Consequently, a party seeking to seal a judicial record bears the burden of overcoming this strong presumption.”* (at 1)

*“When reviewing proposed settlements of a minor’s claims, district courts must review any compromise or settlement of a minor’s claims to ensure that the minor’s interests are protected.”* (at 2)

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs sought approval of settlements involving three minors. They moved to seal four exhibits: the settlement agreement and release, emails concerning allocation of net settlement funds, a breakdown of settlement proceeds, and a breakdown of case costs. The exhibits contained information concerning attorney fees, costs, settlement amounts, and personal identifying information, including information relating to the minors.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiffs submitted petitions seeking approval of settlements involving three minors and moved to seal exhibits concerning the settlements. The court evaluated the sealing request under the compelling-reasons standard because the settlement review was closely related to disposition of the minors' claims.

## DISPOSITION

other

## CASES CITED

- Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006)
- Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092 (9th Cir. 2016)
- Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665 (9th Cir. 2010)
- Robidoux v. Rosengren, 638 F.3d 1177 (9th Cir. 2011)
- Singleton v. Clark Cnty., No. 3:24-CV-05392-TMC, 2025 WL 2697140 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 22, 2025)
- A.G. by & through Gabot v. Albertson's LLC, No. 2:24-CV-01943-CDS-MDC, 2025 WL 3004602 (D. Nev. Sept. 2, 2025)
- BBK Tobacco & Foods, LLP v. Aims Grp. USA Corp., No. 2:22-CV-01648-GMN-BNW, 2024 WL 4201896 (D. Nev. Sept. 13, 2024)

---

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-nevada/2026/danielle-s-brissett-et-al-v-enterprise-leasing-company-west-bbil8ygp>