

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA

Denise Cobb v. Martin O’Malley, Commissioner of Social Security
Administration

Cobb · No. 23-60154-CIV-SMITH · Decided December 5, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida affirmed and adopted a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation denying Denise Cobb’s motion to reopen. The Court ordered that the case be closed.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Rodney Smith
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
DECIDED	December 5, 2025
DOCKET	23-60154-CIV-SMITH
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved to reopen the case. A magistrate judge recommended denying the motion, and no objections were filed. The district court reviewed and adopted the Report and Recommendation and denied the motion to reopen.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), portions of a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation to which specific objections are made receive de novo review; portions without specific objections are reviewed for clear error. The court stated that it conducted de novo review of the Report in this case.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown
PARTIES	Denise Cobb v. Martin O’Malley, Commissioner of Social Security Administration

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- motion for reconsideration

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

social security

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation recommending denial of Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen.
2. Whether Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen should be denied.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court affirmed and adopted the Report and Recommendation and incorporated it by reference into its order.
2. Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen was denied, and the case was closed.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion concerns Plaintiff Denise Cobb's request to reopen the case. Magistrate Judge Lisette M. Reid issued a Report and Recommendation recommending denial of the motion. No objections were filed, and the district court agreed with and adopted the magistrate judge's analysis.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The matter came before the district court on the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Lisette M. Reid concerning Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen. No objections were filed. The district court considered the Report, conducted de novo review, adopted and incorporated the Report, denied the motion, and closed the case.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- United States v. Schultz, 565 F.3d 1353, 1360 (11th Cir. 2009)
- Liberty Am. Ins. Grp., Inc. v. WestPoint Underwriters, L.L.C., 199 F. Supp. 2d 1271, 1276 (M.D. Fla. 2001)
- Macort v. Prem, Inc., 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006)

OPINION

Cobb

PER CURIAM.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 23-60154-CIV-SMITH

DENISE COBB,

Plaintiff, v.

MARTIN O'MALLEY,¹

Commissioner of Social Security Administration, Defendant. /

ORDER AFFIRMING AND ADOPTING REPORT OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation (the "Report") [DE 32] of the Honorable Lisette M. Reid, U.S. Magistrate Judge, on Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen [DE 31]. In her thorough and well-reasoned Report, Judge Reid recommends that: the Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen be denied. No objections were filed. A district court may accept, reject, or modify a magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Those portions of the report and recommendation to which objections are made are accorded de novo review, if those objections "pinpoint the specific findings that the party disagrees with." *United States v. Schultz*, 565 F.3d 1353, 1360 (11th Cir. 2009); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). Any portions of the report and recommendation to which no specific objection is made are reviewed only for clear error. *Liberty Am. Ins. Grp., Inc. v. WestPoint Underwriters, L.L.C.*, 199 F. Supp. 2d 1271, 1276 (M.D. Fla. 2001); accord *Macort v. Prem, Inc.*, 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006). The Court, having considered Judge Reid's Report, conducted a de novo review. The Court, agrees with Judge Reid's well-reasoned analysis and her recommendations. Accordingly, it is ORDERED that: 1) The Report and Recommendation [DE 32] is AFFIRMED and ADOPTED and incorporated by reference into this Court's Order. 2) Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen [DE 31] is DENIED. 3) This case is CLOSED. DONE and ORDERED in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, this 4th day of December, 2025.

RODNEY SMITH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

cc: All counsel of record