

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

Consuelo Lopez v. Dolgencorp of Texas, Inc., et al.

Lopez · No. 2:25-CV-00018 · Decided February 2, 2026

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT February 02, 2026 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION CONSUELO LOPEZ, § § Plaintiff, § §
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00018 § DOLGENCORP OF TEXAS, INC., et al., § §
Defendants. § ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION On
December 19, 2025, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum
and Recommendation” (D.E.

28), recommending that the Court grant Defendants’ motion for summary judgment (D.E. 23). The
parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s
memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order
No. 2002- 13. No objections have been timely filed. When no timely objection to a magistrate
judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that
there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum
and recommendation.

Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc., 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing Douglass v. United Servs.
Auto Ass’n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)). Having reviewed the findings of fact and
conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E.
28), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court ADOPTS
as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, Defendants’ motion for summary judgment (D.E. 23) is GRANTED and this action
is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. ORDERED on February 2, 2026. Lela GONZAIGS
RAMOS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 2/2