

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Bennett v. Project 90, et al.

Bennett · No. 24-cv-06492-JSC · Decided July 16, 2025

OPINION

Bennett v. Social Security Administration

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 7 DAVID BENNETT, Case No. 24-cv-06492-JSC 8 Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

v. 9 10 PROJECT 90, et al., Defendants. 11 12 Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding without
an attorney, filed this civil action 13 against several state and federal officials and agencies. On
April 28, 2025, mail was returned to 14 the court as undeliverable at the address Plaintiff provided
in his initial filing in this case. (ECF

15 Nos. 1-1, 8.) The Court therefore ordered Plaintiff as follows:

16 No later than July 1, 2025, Plaintiff shall: (1) provide a current valid mailing address and any
identification number necessary for him to 17 receive mail; and (2) show cause why this case
should not be dismissed under Civil Local Rule 3-11(b). Also no later than July 1, 18 2025,
Plaintiff shall pay the filing fee or show cause why leave to proceed in forma pauperis should be
granted, as provided in the 19 Court's previous order (ECF No. 7). The failure to do so may result
in the dismissal of this case under Civil Local Rule 3-11(b) 20 or 41(a). 21 (ECF No. 9 at 2
(emphasis in original).) The order to show cause was mailed to both the address 22 Plaintiff
provided in his initial filing, as well as to the Yolo County Jail because that was the return 23
address on Plaintiff's last filing in this case on February 26, 2025. (See ECF Nos. 1-1, 9.) The 24
order was returned by the postal service as undeliverable on June 24, 2025. (ECF No. 25.) 25
Plaintiff has still not provided the Court with his current address or otherwise communicated with
26 the Court. 27 Pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 3-11: (a) Duty to Notify. An
attorney or a party proceeding pro se whose 1 address changes while an action is pending must
promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of 2 Address
specifying the new address. 3 (b) Dismissal Due to Failure. The Court may, without prejudice, 4
dismiss a complaint or strike an answer when:

(1) Mail directed to the attorney or pro se party by the Court

5 has been returned to the Court as not deliverable; and 6 (2) The Court fails to receive within 60

days of this return a written communication from the attorney or pro se party indicating a 7
current address. 8 || Civ. L.R. 3-11; see also *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1441 (9th Cir. 1988)
(affirming dismissal 9 || of pro se prisoner's complaint for failing to notify court of his change of
address). 10 Plaintiff has not provided his current address for mailing as required by Civil Local
Rule 3- 11 11(a). Plaintiff has been given the opportunity and time to explain and correct this
failure, but he 12 || has failed to do so. It has been more than 60 days since Plaintiff's mail was
first returned as 13 || undeliverable due to his address being incorrect, which provides grounds for
dismissal under Civil 5 14 || Local Rule 3-11(b). 2 15 Accordingly, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-
11(b), this case is DISMISSED without 16 || prejudice to refiling in a new case in which Plaintiff
provides and maintains his correct address. = 17 The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.
Z 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 || Dated: July 16, 2025 20 21 ne
CQWELINE SCOTT CORL
22 United States District Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28