

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Daniel Harper v. S. Pohovich, et al.

Harper v. Pohovich · No. 2:24-cv-3668-TLN-CKD P · Decided July 9, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Harper v. Pohovich

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 DANIEL HARPER, No. 2:24-cv3668-TLN-CKD P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

14 S. POHOVICH, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without
counsel. By order filed June 17, 2025, the 19 court denied plaintiff's application to proceed in
forma pauperis status and ordered plaintiff to 20 pay the \$405.00 filing fee within 14 days to
proceed in this action. (ECF No. 14.) Because 21 plaintiff has failed to pay the filing fee as
ordered by the court, this action should be dismissed 22 without prejudice. 23 For the reasons set
forth above, it is RECOMMENDED as follows: 24 1. This action be dismissed for plaintiff's
failure to pay the filing fee. 25 2. The Clerk of the Court be directed to close this case. 26 These
findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 27 assigned to the
case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days after 28 being served
with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with 1 | the court
and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to 2 |
Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file 3 ||
objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. 4 |
Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 5 | Dated: July 9, 2025 Cardy it | Lz (g—,

6 CAROLYN K DELANEY?

7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

g 8, harp3668.fr 9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28