

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Garcia v. Hap Trucking Ltd. and Manago v. Hap Trucking Ltd.

No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD; 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD, United States District Court for the Eastern District
of California (March 31, 2025)

OPINION

Manago v. Hap Trucking Ltd.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 QUENTIN PAUL GARCIA,

12 Plaintiff, No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD 13 v.

14 HAP TRUCKING LTD; GURHAM ORDER

SINGH GILL and DOES 1-25, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-
CKD

MICHAELA MANAGO,

20 Plaintiff, 21 v. 22

HAP TRUCKING LTD; GURHAM

23 SINGH GILL and DOES 1-25, inclusive, 24 Defendants. 25 26 This matter is before the Court
on Plaintiffs Quentin Paul Garcia and Michaela Manago's 27 (collectively, "Plaintiffs")
unopposed Motions to Consolidate two related cases. (No. 2:24-cv- 28 1 01947-TLN-CKD, ECF
No. 20; No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD, ECF No. 16.)¹ The two actions 2 arise out of a single
motor vehicle accident. In both actions, Plaintiffs allege Defendants Hap 3 Trucking Ltd. ("Hap
Trucking") and Gurham Singh Gill ("Gill") (collectively, "Defendants") 4 were in some manner
responsible for an accident in which, among other things, they failed to 5 operate a tractor trailer
in a reasonable manner and failed to train and supervise Gill, which 6 caused him to operate the
tractor trailer in a negligent manner. 7 "The district court, in exercising its broad discretion to
order consolidation of actions 8 presenting a common issue of law or fact under [Federal] Rule [of
Civil Procedure] 42(a), weighs 9 the saving of time and effort consolidation would produce
against any inconvenience, delay, or 10 expense that it would cause." *Huene v. United States*, 743
F.2d 703,704 (9th Cir. 1984). Having 11 carefully reviewed the parties' submissions, the Court
determines that consolidation is 12 appropriate. Accordingly, the Court hereby GRANTS the
motions to consolidate. (No. 2:24-cv- 13 01947-TLN-CKD, ECF No. 20; No. 2:24-cv-01496-
TLN-CKD, ECF No. 16.) 14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 15 1. The following actions are

consolidated before this Court for all purposes, 16 including trial and all pretrial matters: 17 •
Garcia v. Hap Trucking Ltd. et al., No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD 18 • Manago v. Hap Trucking
Ltd. et al., No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD 19 2. Plaintiffs shall have seven (7) days from the
electronic filing date of this Order to 20 file a consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint
and any future filings shall be 21 solely made on the docket for No. 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD.
Going forward, no filings 22 should be made under No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD. The Clerk of
Court is directed to 23 close Case No. 2:24-cv-01948-TLN-CKD. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 28 1 The
instant motion has been docketed in each of the related cases. 1 3. Defendants shall have forty-five
(45) days after service of the consolidated 2 complaint to file an answer. 3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 4
| DATED: March 28, 2025 5 Lol 7

g TROY L. NUNLEY

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28