

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Clifford Harris v. Sabrina D. Peterson

No. CV 24-10781 FMO (RAOx), United States District Court for the Central District of California (March 6,
2025)

SUMMARY

This document is an order to show cause issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California regarding possible dismissal for lack of prosecution. The court directs the plaintiff to file proof of service, an answer, or an application for entry of default concerning Sabrina D. Peterson by March 13, 2025, and warns that failure to respond may result in dismissal.

OPINION

Clifford Harris v. Sabrina D. Peterson

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

CV 24-10781 FMO (RAOx) Date March 6, 2025 Title Clifford Harris v. Sabrina D. Peterson

Present: The Honorable Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge Vanessa Figueroa None

Present Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys

Present for Defendants: None Present None Present Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show

Cause Re: Dismissal Re: Lack of Prosecution Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within

90 days after the complaint is filed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Generally, a defendant must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ.

P. 12(a). The court may dismiss the action prior to the 90 days, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action. With respect to service of individuals and/or business entities

in a foreign country, plaintiff shall exercise all reasonable diligence and attempt service within the 90-day time period. In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not

been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before March 13, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b), the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of: Proof(s)

of service of summons and complaint on the following defendant(s): Sabrina D. Peterson An answer by the following defendant(s): Sabrina D. Peterson 7 Plaintiff's application for entry of

default pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a): Sabrina D. Peterson on or before the date indicated above. Failure to file a timely response to this Order to Show Cause shall result in the action or the above defendant(s) being dismissed for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the orders of the court. See Local Rule 41; Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 & 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962). 00 : 00 Initials of Preparer vdr