

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Jason C. Redditt v. M. Gutierrez

Redditt · No. CV-25-00030-TUC-AMM · Decided April 14, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Arizona adopted a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation recommending denial of Jason C. Redditt’s 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas petition. The court denied the petitioner’s related motions, dismissed the matter, and directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Arizona
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Angela M. Martinez
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Arizona
DECIDED	April 14, 2026
DOCKET	CV-25-00030-TUC-AMM
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation recommending denial of a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and denial of several related motions. No party filed a specific objection.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	For portions of a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation to which no specific written objection is made, the district court reviews for clear error. Portions subject to a specific objection receive de novo review.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; district court order with no reporter citation or published-status designation in the source.
PARTIES	Jason C. Redditt v. M. Gutierrez

TOPICS

- federal habeas corpus
- post-conviction relief
- pleadings
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should review the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation for clear error because no specific written objection was filed.
2. Whether the district court should adopt the Report and Recommendation and deny the § 2241 habeas petition and related motions.

HOLDINGS

1. When no specific written objection is made to a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court reviews the recommendation for clear error rather than conducting de novo review.
2. The court adopted the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, denied the § 2241 petition and the related motions, and dismissed the matter.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“A district court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”” (1)

“the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Jason C. Redditt filed a self-represented petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. He also filed motions and declarations seeking to add evidence, support a temporary restraining order, enter default, and expand the record. The parties did not file specific objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On March 27, 2026, Magistrate Judge Bruce G. Macdonald issued a Report and Recommendation recommending denial of the § 2241 petition and related motions. The parties were given fourteen days to object, but neither filed a specific objection. The district court reviewed the R&R for clear error, adopted it, denied the petition and motions, dismissed the matter, and directed the clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc)
- Prior v. Ryan, CV 10-225-TUC-RCC, 2012 WL 1344286, at *1 (D. Ariz. Apr. 18, 2012)

- Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-arizona/2026/jason-c-redditt-v-m-gutierrez-buuv9wps>