

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA, COLUMBIA DIVISION**

**Taurice Collins v. Christopher Lowell Brown and Town Council of
Mayesville**

Collins · No. 3:25-10645-MGL · Decided May 27, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of South Carolina adopted a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation and dismissed Taurice Collins's action against Christopher Lowell Brown and the Town Council of Mayesville with prejudice for lack of prosecution. The court deemed pending motions moot and advised Collins of the right to appeal.

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION TAURICE COLLINS, § Plaintiff, § § § VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO.
3:25-10645-MGL § CHRISTOPHER LOWELL BROWN and § TOWN COUNCIL OF
MAYESVILLE, § Defendant. § ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION, DISMISSING THE ACTION WITH PREJUDICE, AND RENDERING
AS MOOT ANY PENDING MOTIONS Plaintiff Taurice Collins, who is self-represented, brings
this action against Defendants Christopher Lowell Brown and Town Council of Mayesville..

The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting to the Court this action be dismissed with prejudice for lack of prosecution; and any pending motions be terminated.

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight.

The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on May 11, 2026, but Collins failed to file any objections to the Report. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court

need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself... there is no clear error on the face of the record... to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc.*

Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985). After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein.

It is therefore the judgment of the Court this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE for lack of prosecution; and any pending motions are DEEMED AS MOOT. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed this 27h day of May, 2026, in Columbia, South Carolina. /s/ Mary Geiger Lewis MARY GEIGER LEWIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ***** NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL Collins is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within sixty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.