

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
GEORGIA

D.D.W. v. Warden, Stewart Detention Center

D.D.W. · No. 4:26-cv-00340-CDL-CHW · Decided March 6, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia extends the time for the respondent to respond to D.D.W.’s petition for habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The respondent must file a comprehensive response within 21 days, after which the petitioner may reply within 14 days; the court will then consider whether an evidentiary hearing is warranted.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Charles H. Weigle
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia
DECIDED	March 6, 2026
DOCKET	4:26-cv-00340-CDL-CHW
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioner filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 seeking relief that included a bond hearing. The court initially reviewed the application and extended the time for the respondent to answer.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential procedural order
PARTIES	D.D.W. v. Warden, Stewart Detention Center

TOPICS

- federal habeas corpus
- immigration detention
- immigration
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Habeas corpus
- Immigration detention

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether good cause existed under 28 U.S.C. § 2243 to extend the respondent's time to respond to the § 2241 application.
2. Whether the existing application provided sufficient information for the court to determine whether petitioner was entitled to a bond hearing.

HOLDINGS

1. Good cause existed to extend the time for the respondent to file a comprehensive response to the § 2241 application, and the respondent was granted twenty-one days to respond.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, a more thorough response is required to determine whether Petitioner is entitled to a bond hearing in this case.”

“Accordingly, Respondent shall have twenty-one (21) days to file a comprehensive response to said application.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The petitioner is detained at Stewart Detention Center and filed an application for habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The application asserted entitlement to a bond hearing, relying on *J.A.M. v. Streeval* and *P.R.S. v. Streeval*. The court found the application unclear regarding petitioner's entry into the country, the circumstances of detention, and the duration of detention.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The court received the § 2241 application on February 27, 2026. Because the application did not clearly explain how petitioner entered the country, why petitioner was detained, or the length of detention, the court found good cause to require a more thorough response before determining whether a bond hearing was warranted.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *J.A.M. v. Streeval*, No. 4:25-CV-342-CDL, 2025 WL 3050094 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 1, 2025)
- *P.R.S. v. Streeval*, No. 4:25-cv-330-CDL, 2025 WL 3269947 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 24, 2025)

OPINION

WRIGHT

PER CURIAM.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
COLUMBUS DIVISION

D.D.W., : Petitioner, : v. : Case No. 4:26-cv-00340-CDL-CHW

: 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Warden, STEWART DETENTION : CENTER, : Respondent. :

ORDER

The Court received Petitioner's application for habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 on February 27, 2026. (ECF No. 1).¹ Having initially reviewed Petitioner's application, the Court finds good cause to extend the time for a response. 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Accordingly, Respondent shall have twenty-one (21) days to file a comprehensive response to said application. Within fourteen (14) days thereafter, Petitioner should file any desired reply. The Court will consider whether to hold an evidentiary hearing once briefing is complete. SO ORDERED, this 6th day of March, 2026. s/ Charles H. Weigle Charles H. Weigle United States Magistrate Judge 1 Petitioner asserts that he is entitled to a bond hearing pursuant to *J.A.M. v. Streeval*, No. 4:25-CV- 342-CDL, 2025 WL 3050094 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 1, 2025) and *P.R.S. v. Streeval*, No. 4:25-cv-330-CDL, 2025 WL 3269947 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 24, 2025). (ECF No. 1). However, from the application, it is unclear how Petitioner entered the country, came to be detained, or exactly how long he has been detained. Therefore, a more thorough response is required to determine whether Petitioner is entitled to a bond hearing in this case.