

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

McDaughtery v. Arce

McDaughtery · No. 25-cv-02474-JSC · Decided July 24, 2025

OPINION

McDaughtery v. Arce

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 7 LESESTER D. MCDAUGHTERY, Case No. 25-cv-02474-JSC g Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

9 V.

10 CARLOS ARCE,

11 Defendant. 12 On April 23, 2025, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's civil rights complaint for
failure to

E 13 || present a cognizable claim for relief. (ECF No. 9.) Plaintiff was granted 28 days to file an
14 || amended complaint, and warned that if he did not do so the case would be dismissed. He has
not 3 15 || filed an amended complaint, requested an extension of time, or shown cause why not.
This case is 16 || accordingly DISMISSED with prejudice. See WMX Technologies v. Miller, 104
F.3d 1133, 1136 i 17 || (9th Cir. 1997) (when complaint has been dismissed with leave to amend
and plaintiff does not Z 18 || amend, further district court determination is necessary). 19 The
Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Dated: July 24, 2025 22
23 Degli stot D □

JAQUELINE SCOTT CORL

24 United States District Judge 25 26 27 28