

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Ronald Denglo Bledsoe v. Mykel Fry, et al.

Bledsoe · No. CIV-25-1071-PRW · Decided December 5, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma adopted the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation and dismissed Ronald Denglo Bledsoe’s civil rights complaint without prejudice. The dismissal was based on Bledsoe’s failure to timely pay the filing fee or submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis, and his failure to object to the recommendation waived appellate review of the addressed issues.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Patrick R. Wyrick
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma
DECIDED	December 5, 2025
DOCKET	CIV-25-1071-PRW
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal of Plaintiff's civil rights complaint without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee or submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff filed no objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Because no objections were filed, the district court held that Plaintiff waived appellate review of the factual and legal issues addressed in the Report and Recommendation. The court noted that 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1) requires de novo review of portions to which a timely objection is made but otherwise permits review under a standard selected by the district judge.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished district court order; precedential status is unknown.
PARTIES	Ronald Denglo Bledsoe v. Mykel Fry, et al.

TOPICS

appellate procedure

waiver

civil procedure

section 1983

prisoners rights

PRACTICE AREAS

civil rights

federal civil procedure

prisoner litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Plaintiff's failure to timely object to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation waived appellate review of the factual and legal issues addressed in it.
2. Whether the court should adopt the Report and Recommendation and dismiss the civil rights complaint without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee or seek in forma pauperis status.

HOLDINGS

1. A party who fails to timely object to a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation waives appellate review of the factual and legal issues addressed in the recommendation.
2. The complaint was properly dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiff failed to timely pay the required \$405 filing fee or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Having failed to object, Plaintiff has accordingly waived his right to appellate review of the factual and legal issues addressed in the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 5).”

“Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 5) in full and DISMISSES WITHOUT PREJUDICE Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. 1).”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff filed a civil rights complaint but did not timely pay the required \$405 filing fee or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The magistrate judge recommended dismissal without prejudice. Plaintiff did not object to the Report and Recommendation by the stated deadline.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Magistrate Judge Amanda Maxfield recommended dismissal of the complaint. Plaintiff was advised of the deadline and consequences of failing to object under 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72. The district court found that Plaintiff waived appellate review by failing to object, adopted the Report and Recommendation in full, and dismissed the complaint without prejudice.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- *United States v. One Parcel of Real Prop.*, 73 F.3d 1057, 1059–60 (10th Cir. 1996)
- *Moore v. United States*, 950 F.2d 656, 659 (10th Cir. 1991)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-western-district-of-okl/2025/ronald-denglo-bledsoe-v-mykel-fry-et-al-coehc9xa>