

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Cesar Acevedo v. Other Path, LLC et al.

Acevedo · No. 2:25-cv-10308-MWC-MAR · Decided October 30, 2025

OPINION

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL Case No. 2:25-cv-10308-MWC-MAR Date: October 30, 2025
Title: Cesar Acevedo v. Other Path, LLC et al. Present: The Honorable Michelle Williams Court,
United States District Judge T. Jackson Not Reported Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants: N/A N/A Proceedings: (In
Chambers) Order to Show Cause re: Supplemental Jurisdiction The Complaint asserts claims for
damages and injunctive relief arising out of alleged violations of the Americans with Disabilities
Act, California’s Unruh Civil Rights Act (“Unruh Act”), the California Disabled Persons Act,
California Health & Safety Code § 19955, et seq., and based on a theory of negligence.

See Dkt. # 1. The Court ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause in writing no later than November 14,
2025, why it should not decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act and any
related state law claims. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1367(c)(4); Arroyo v. Rosas, 19 F.4th 1202 (9th Cir.
2021).

The response to this Order to Show Cause must identify the amount of statutory damages Plaintiff
seeks to recover. Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s counsel must also support their responses to the Order to
Show Cause with declarations, signed under penalty of perjury, providing all facts necessary for
the Court to determine if they satisfy the definition of a “high-frequency litigant” as provided by
California Civil Procedure Code §§ 425.55(b)(1) & (2).

Failure to respond as ordered will result in the Court declining to exercise supplemental
jurisdiction over the Unruh Act and related state law claims and dismissing those claims without
prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c). IT IS SO ORDERED. Initials of Preparer TJ