

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

People of Michigan v. Jerron Jason Davis

No. SC: 158658, Michigan Supreme Court (October 30, 2019)

SUMMARY

The Michigan Supreme Court denied the defendant's application for leave to appeal, holding that he failed to meet the burden of establishing entitlement to relief under MCR 6.508(D), the rule governing relief from judgment. The case arises from a felony conviction (Oakland Circuit Court No. 2006-210240-FC). The per curiam order affirms the denial of post-conviction relief.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Michigan Supreme Court
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Bridget M. McCormack; David F. Viviano; Stephen J. Markman; Brian K. Zahra; Richard H. Bernstein; Elizabeth T. Clement; Megan K. Cavanagh
JURISDICTION	Michigan
DECIDED	October 30, 2019
DOCKET	SC: 158658
DISPOSITION	denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Application for leave to appeal from the Court of Appeals order.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published
PARTIES	Jerron Jason Davis v. People of the State of Michigan

TOPICS

criminal procedure

appellate procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Criminal Law

Appellate Practice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the defendant is entitled to relief under MCR 6.508(D).

KEY QUOTATIONS

“the application for leave to appeal is again considered, and it is DENIED, because the defendant has failed to meet the burden of establishing entitlement to relief under MCR 6.508(D).”

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The defendant appealed from the September 19, 2018 order of the Court of Appeals. The Michigan Supreme Court denied leave to appeal.

DISPOSITION

denied

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Order Michigan Supreme Court Lansing, Michigan October 30, 2019 Bridget M. McCormack, Chief Justice 158658 David F. Viviano, Chief Justice Pro Tem Stephen J. Markman Brian K. Zahra Richard H. Bernstein PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Elizabeth T. Clement Plaintiff-Appellee, Megan K. Cavanagh, Justices v SC: 158658 COA: 343964 Oakland CC: 2006-210240-FC JERRON JASON DAVIS, Defendant-Appellant.

_____/ By order of May 29, 2019, the prosecuting attorney was directed to answer the application for leave to appeal the September 19, 2018 order of the Court of Appeals.

On order of the Court, the answer having been received, the application for leave to appeal is again considered, and it is DENIED, because the defendant has failed to meet the burden of establishing entitlement to relief under MCR 6.508(D). I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

October 30, 2019 t1023 Clerk