

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Omar Rogers, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v.
Amazon.com, Inc.

Rogers v. Amazon.com · No. CV 25-7830 FMO (SSCx) · Decided November 18, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the class claims without prejudice and the plaintiff’s individual claims with prejudice after being informed that the parties had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the plaintiff to reopen the action within 60 days for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Fernando M. Olguin
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	November 18, 2025
DOCKET	CV 25-7830 FMO (SSCx)
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The court dismissed a putative class action after being informed that the parties had settled, with the plaintiff agreeing to dismiss his individual claims with prejudice and the class claims without prejudice.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential

TOPICS

- class actions
- civil procedure
- costs

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- class actions
- settlement

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the action should be dismissed following settlement when the individual claims were to be dismissed with prejudice and the class claims without prejudice.
2. Whether the court should retain jurisdiction and permit reopening if the settlement was not consummated.

HOLDINGS

1. The court dismissed the individual claims with prejudice and the class claims without prejudice because the parties had settled and dismissal would not prejudice the class.
2. The court retained full jurisdiction and allowed the plaintiff to reopen the action for good cause within 60 days if the settlement was not consummated.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without prejudice as to the class claims and with prejudice as to the individual claims.” (at 1)

“The court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Omar Rogers filed a complaint asserting individual and class claims against Amazon.com, Inc. Before an answer was filed or other substantive action occurred, the parties settled, with Rogers to dismiss his individual claims with prejudice and the class claims without prejudice. The court determined that dismissal would not prejudice the class.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The complaint asserted individual and class allegations. No answer had been filed and no substantive action had occurred; following notice of settlement, the court determined that dismissal would not prejudice the putative class and entered an order dismissing the claims on differing terms.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)

OPINION

Omar Rogers, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Amazon.com, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 JS-6 6 7 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 OMAR ROGERS, on behalf of himself) Case No. CV 25-7830 FMO (SSCx) 12 and all
others similarly situated,)) 13 Plaintiff,)

) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

14 v.))

15 AMAZON.COM, INC.,)

) 16 Defendant.)) 17) 18 The complaint in the above-captioned case contains individual and
class allegations, but 19 no answer has been filed and no action has been taken in this matter. (See,
generally, Dkt.). 20 Now, the court is informed that the parties have settled and that plaintiff will
dismiss his individual 21 claims with prejudice and the class claims without prejudice. (See Dkt.
13, Notice of Settlement). 22 Having reviewed the case file and determined that no prejudice to
the class will result from 23 the dismissal, IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is
hereby dismissed without 24 prejudice as to the class claims and with prejudice as to the
individual claims. The dismissal shall 25 be without costs and plaintiff shall retain the right, upon
good cause shown within 60 days from 26 the filing date of this Order, to re-open the action if
settlement is not consummated. The court 27 retains full jurisdiction over this action and this
Order shall not prejudice any party to this action. 28 Failure to re-open or seek an extension of
time to re-open the action by the deadline set forth 1 above shall be deemed as consent by the
parties to dismissal of the action without prejudice as 2 to the class claims. See Fed. R. Civ. P.
41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 3 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962). 4 Dated this
17th day of November, 2025. 5 /s/ Fernando M. Olguin 6 United States District Judge 7 8 9 10 11
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28