

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

**Omar Rogers, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v.
Amazon.com, Inc.**

Rogers v. Amazon.com · No. CV 25-7830 FMO (SSCx) · Decided November 18, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the class claims without prejudice and the plaintiff's individual claims with prejudice after being informed that the parties had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the plaintiff to reopen the action within 60 days for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 JS-6 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 OMAR ROGERS, on behalf of himself) Case No. CV 25-7830 FMO
(SSCx) 12 and all others similarly situated,)) 13 Plaintiff,)) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION 14
v.)) 15 AMAZON.COM, INC.,)) 16 Defendant.)) 17) 18 The complaint in the above-
captioned case contains individual and class allegations, but 19 no answer has been filed and no
action has been taken in this matter.

(See, generally, Dkt.). 20 Now, the court is informed that the parties have settled and that plaintiff
will dismiss his individual 21 claims with prejudice and the class claims without prejudice. (See
Dkt. 13, Notice of Settlement). 22 Having reviewed the case file and determined that no prejudice
to the class will result from 23 the dismissal, IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is
hereby dismissed without 24 prejudice as to the class claims and with prejudice as to the
individual claims.

The dismissal shall 25 be without costs and plaintiff shall retain the right, upon good cause shown
within 60 days from 26 the filing date of this Order, to re-open the action if settlement is not
consummated. The court 27 retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not
prejudice any party to this action. 28 Failure to re-open or seek an extension of time to re-open the
action by the deadline set forth 1 above shall be deemed as consent by the parties to dismissal of
the action without prejudice as 2 to the class claims.

See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 3 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388
(1962). 4 Dated this 17th day of November, 2025. 5 /s/ Fernando M. Olguin 6 United States
District Judge 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

