

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Haurilio Silva Valencia v. Joseph Tuggle

Valencia v. Tuggle · No. No. 2:24-cv-2994-TLN-CSK · Decided August 28, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations in a state prisoner’s 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas action. The court granted the respondent’s motion to dismiss, dismissed the action with prejudice, and declined to issue a certificate of appealability.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	August 28, 2025
DOCKET	No. 2:24-cv-2994-TLN-CSK
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioner filed a state-prisoner habeas corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. After a magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending disposition of the case, the district court adopted them in full, granted respondent's motion to dismiss, dismissed the action with prejudice, and declined to issue a certificate of appealability.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court presumed that any factual findings were correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's legal conclusions de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished district court order; precedential status unknown
PARTIES	Haurilio Silva Valencia v. Joseph Tuggle

TOPICS

- federal habeas corpus
- post-conviction relief
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- federal habeas corpus
- post-conviction relief
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations when neither party filed objections.
2. Whether respondent's motion to dismiss should be granted and the § 2254 action dismissed with prejudice.
3. Whether to issue a certificate of appealability.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court presumed that factual findings were correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's legal conclusions de novo.
2. The magistrate judge's findings and recommendations were adopted in full, respondent's motion to dismiss was granted, and the habeas action was dismissed with prejudice.
3. The court declined to issue a certificate of appealability under 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and sought federal habeas relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The opinion does not set out the underlying conviction, sentence, or substantive habeas claims because the district court resolved the matter by adopting the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations and granting the respondent's motion to dismiss.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Valencia filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. The magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations on July 2, 2025; neither party objected. The district court reviewed the record, adopted the findings and recommendations in full, granted the motion to dismiss, dismissed the action with prejudice, and declined to issue a certificate of appealability.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007)