

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT**

People v. Mirabel

2020 NY Slip Op 05691 (N.Y. Ct. App. 2020) · No. 2019-3589 · Decided October 13, 2020

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

People v Mirabel (2020 NY Slip Op 05691) People v Mirabel 2020 NY Slip Op 05691 Decided on October 13, 2020 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided and Entered: October 13, 2020 Before: Gische, J.P., Singh, Kennedy, Mendez, JJ. Ind No. 2746/15 Appeal No. 12042 Case No. 2019-3589 [*1]The People of the State of New York, Respondent, vEddi H. Mirabel, Defendant-Appellant.

Robert S. Dean, Center for Appellate Litigation, New York (Nicole P. Geoglis of counsel), for appellant. Cyrus R. Vance, Jr. District Attorney, New York (Katherine Kulkarni of counsel), for respondent. Order, Supreme Court, New York County (Abraham L. Clott, J.), entered on or about December 12, 2018, which adjudicated defendant a level two sex offender pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration Act (Correction Law art 6-C), unanimously affirmed, without costs.

The court providently exercised its discretion when it declined to grant a downward departure (see *People v Gillotti*, 23 NY3d 841 [2014]). Given the particular egregiousness of the underlying crime, we do not find that there was any overassessment of points, and the record does not establish any basis for a downward departure. Among other factors, the severity of the young victim's injuries suggests an indifference on the part of defendant to the well-being of others, and a consequent risk of sexual reoffense.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: October 13, 2020