

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Milan Michael Kotevski v. Kash Patel, et al.

Kotevski · No. 2:25-cv-02160-GMN-BNW · Decided January 23, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Nevada adopts a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation because no objections were filed by the deadline. The court dismisses Milan Michael Kotevski’s complaint without prejudice for failure to file a court-ordered amended complaint, denies other pending motions as moot, and directs the clerk to close the case.

OPINION

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 MILAN MICHAEL
KOTEVSKI, 4 Plaintiff, Case No.: 2:25-cv-02160-GMN-BNW 5 vs. 6 ORDER ADOPTING
REPORT AND KASH PATEL, et al., RECOMMENDATION 7 Defendants. 8 9 Pending before
the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (ECF No. 6), 10 from United States
Magistrate Judge Brenda N. Weksler, which recommends that Plaintiff 11 Milan Michael
Kotevski’s Complaint be dismissed without prejudice for failure to file a court- 12 ordered
amended complaint.

13 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a 14
United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 15
D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo 16
determination of those portions to which objections are made if the Magistrate Judge’s findings 17
and recommendations concern matters that may not be finally determined by a magistrate 18
judge.

D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the 19 findings
or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. 20 IB 3-
2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any 21 review at
all... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 22 140, 149
(1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)).

Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a 23 district court is not required to review a
magistrate judge’s R&R where no objections have been 24 filed. See, e.g., *United States v.*
Reyna–Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003). 25 Here, no objections were filed, and the
deadline to do so has passed. (See R&R, ECF 1 || No. 6) (setting a January 13, 2026, deadline for
objections).

2 Accordingly, 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 6),
is 4 |} ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's
Complaint is DISMISSED without 6 || prejudice. 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other
pending motions are DENIED as moot. 8 The Clerk of Court is kindly directed to close the case. 9
Dated this 23 day of January, 2026.

Gloria M. arro, District Judge United States'District Court 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
24 25 Page 2 of 2