

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Kristopher David Hunt v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security Administration

Hunt · No. 3:25-cv-00689-CSD · Decided January 13, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Nevada grants Kristopher David Hunt’s application to proceed in forma pauperis. The court allows his complaint seeking judicial review of the Commissioner of Social Security’s final decision to proceed and directs service under the Supplemental Rules for Social Security Actions.

OPINION

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 KRISTOPHER DAVID
HUNT, Case No.: 3:25-cv-00689-CSD 4 Plaintiff Order 5 v. Re: ECF Nos. 1, 1-1 6 FRANK
BISIGNANO, Commissioner of Social Security 7 Administration, 8 Defendant 9 Before the court
is Plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 1) and 10 complaint (ECF No. 1-
1). 11 I. APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS 12 A person may
be granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) if the person 13 “submits an affidavit
that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses [and] that the 14 person is unable to
pay such fees or give security therefor.

Such affidavit shall state the nature of 15 the action, defense or appeal and affiant’s belief that the
person is entitled to redress.” 28 U.S.C. 16 § 1915(a)(1); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th
Cir. 2000) (en banc) (stating that 28 17 U.S.C. § 1915 applies to all actions filed IFP, not just
prisoner actions). 18 In addition, the Local Rules of Practice for the District of Nevada provide:
“Any person 19 who is unable to prepay the fees in a civil case may apply to the court for
authority to proceed 20 [IFP].

The application must be made on the form provided by the court and must include a 21 financial
affidavit disclosing the applicant’s income, assets, expenses, and liabilities.” LSR 1-1. 22 “[T]he
supporting affidavits [must] state the facts as to [the] affiant’s poverty with some 23 particularity,
definiteness and certainty.” U.S. v. *McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir.

1981) 1 (quoting *Jefferson v. United States*, 277 F.2d 723, 725 (9th Cir. 1960)). A litigant need not
“be 2 absolutely destitute to enjoy the benefits of the statute.” *Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont de Nemours*
& 3 Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948). 4 A review of the application to proceed IFP reveals Plaintiff
cannot pay the filing fee; 5 therefore, the application is granted.

6 II. SCREENING 7 “[T]he court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that--
(A) the 8 allegation of poverty is untrue; or (B) the action or appeal-- (i) is frivolous or malicious;
(ii) fails 9 to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against
a 10 defendant who is immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A), (B)(i)-(iii).

11 Dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted is 12
provided for in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) 13
tracks that language. As such, when reviewing the adequacy of a complaint under this statute, the
14 court applies the same standard as is applied under Rule 12(b)(6). See e.g. *Watison v. Carter*,
668 15 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir.

2012) (“The standard for determining whether a plaintiff has failed to 16 state a claim upon which
relief can be granted under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) is the same as the 17 Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 12(b)(6) standard for failure to state a claim.”). Review under 18 Rule 12(b)(6) is
essentially a ruling on a question of law. See *Chappel v. Lab. Corp. of America*, 19 232 F.3d 719,
723 (9th Cir.

2000) (citation omitted). 20 The court must accept as true the allegations, construe the pleadings
in the light most 21 favorable to the plaintiff, and resolve all doubts in the plaintiff’s favor. *Jenkins*
v. McKeithen, 22 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969) (citations omitted). Allegations in pro se complaints
are “held to less 23 1 stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers[.]” *Hughes v.*
Rowe, 449 U.S. 5, 9 2 (1980) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

3 A complaint must contain more than a “formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of 4
action,” it must contain factual allegations sufficient to “raise a right to relief above the 5
speculative level.” *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). “The pleading 6
must contain something more ... than ... a statement of facts that merely creates a suspicion [of] 7
a legally cognizable right of action.” *Id.* (citation and quotation marks omitted).

At a minimum, a 8 plaintiff should include “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible
on its face.” *Id.* at 9 570; see also *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). 10 A dismissal
should not be without leave to amend unless it is clear from the face of the 11 complaint that the
action is frivolous and could not be amended to state a federal claim, or the 12 district court lacks
subject matter jurisdiction over the action.

See *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 13 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995); *O’Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d
614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990). 14 Plaintiff’s complaint names the Commissioner of Social Security, and
requests review of 15 the Commissioner’s final decision. (ECF No. 1-1.) 16 Federal courts have
sole jurisdiction to conduct judicial review of the Social Security 17 Administration’s
determination in this regard.

See 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Upon a review of 18 Plaintiff's complaint, it appears administrative remedies have been exhausted with the Social 19 Security Administration. Therefore, Plaintiff's complaint shall proceed. 20 III. CONCLUSION 21 (1) Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP (ECF No. 1) is GRANTED. Plaintiff is 22 permitted to maintain this action without the necessity of prepayment of fees or costs 23 or the giving of security therefor.

1 (2) The complaint shall PROCEED. The Clerk shall FILE the complaint (ECF No. 1-1). 2 (3) The complaint shall be served on the Commissioner in accordance with Rule 3 of the 3 Supplemental Rules for Social Security Actions under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). 4 (4) Plaintiff shall serve upon defendant(s) or, if an appearance has been entered by 5 counsel, upon the attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion or other document 6 submitted for consideration by the court.

If Plaintiff electronically files a document 7 with the court's electronic filing system, no certificate of service is required. Fed. R. 8 Civ. P. 5(d)(1)(B); LR IC 4-1(b); LR 5-1. If Plaintiff mails the document to the court, 9 Plaintiff shall include with the original document submitted for filing a certificate 10 stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the 11 defendants or counsel for the defendants.

If counsel has entered a notice of 12 appearance, Plaintiff shall direct service to the individual attorney named in the notice 13 of appearance, at the physical or electronic address stated therein. The court may 14 disregard any document received by a district judge or magistrate judge which has not 15 been filed with the Clerk, and any document received by a district judge, magistrate 16 judge, or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate showing proper service when 17 required.

18), IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 13, 2026 Cs By 21 Craig S. Denney United States Magistrate Judge 22 23