

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Ravon Lovowe Ramsey v. Z. Lujan, et al.

Ramsey v. Lujan · No. 2:24-cv-3682-DJC-CSK · Decided December 8, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations in a prisoner civil rights action. The court denied defendants’ motion to revoke the plaintiff’s in forma pauperis status and referred the matter back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Daniel J. Calabretta
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	December 8, 2025
DOCKET	2:24-cv-3682-DJC-CSK
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations concerning defendants' motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. No party filed objections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court presumed the magistrate judge's findings of fact were correct and reviewed the magistrate judge's conclusions of law de novo.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished, nonprecedential district court order
PARTIES	Ravon Lovowe Ramsey v. Z. Lujan, et al.

TOPICS

- prisoners rights
- section 1983
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil rights
- prisoner litigation
- federal civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations should be adopted when no party filed objections.
2. Whether defendants' motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should be denied.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in full after reviewing the record and analysis, where no party filed objections.
2. Defendants' motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status was denied.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Ravon Lovowe Ramsey, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brought a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants moved to revoke Ramsey's in forma pauperis status, and the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations addressing that motion.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a magistrate judge, who issued findings and recommendations on October 21, 2025. After no objections were filed, the district court adopted the findings and recommendations in full, denied defendants' motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status, and referred the action back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.

DISPOSITION

other

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The action was referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.

CASES CITED

- Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979)
- Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 RAVON LOVOWE RAMSEY, No. 2:24-cv-3682-DJC-CSK P 12 Plaintiff,
13 v. ORDER 14 Z. LUJAN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro
se, filed this civil rights action seeking 18 relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On October 21, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days.

Neither party filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See *Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the Magistrate Judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 32) are adopted in full; 2. Defendants' motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status (ECF No. 19) is denied; and 3.

This action is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 5, 2025 "Daal J CoO Hon. Daniel alabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | jrams24ev3682.801 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28