

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Ravon Lovowe Ramsey v. Z. Lujan, et al.

Ramsey v. Lujan · No. 2:24-cv-3682-DJC-CSK · Decided December 8, 2025

OPINION

Lujan

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 RAVON LOVOWE RAMSEY, No. 2:24-cv-3682-DJC-CSK P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 Z. LUJAN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner
proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking 18 relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The
matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)
and Local Rule 302. 20 On October 21, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and 21
recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice 22 to all
parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed 23 within
fourteen days. Neither party filed objections to the findings and 24 recommendations. 25 The
Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United* 26 *States*, 602 F.2d 207,
208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge’s conclusions of law 27 are reviewed de novo. See *Britt*
v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 28 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the
file, the Court finds the findings and 1 | recommendations to be supported by the record and by the
Magistrate Judge’s 2 | analysis. 3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 4 1. The findings
and recommendations (ECF No. 32) are adopted in full; 5 2. Defendants’ motion to revoke
plaintiff’s in forma pauperis status (ECF No. 6 | 19) is denied; and 7 3. This action is referred back
to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further 8 | proceedings. 9 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 |
Dated: _December 5, 2025 “Daal J CoO □□□□ Hon. Daniel alabretta

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13 14 15 | jrams24ev3682.801 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28