

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, TEXARKANA DIVISION**

Kedrien Booker v. Buck Taylor, et al.

Booker · No. 5:25-cv-00143-RWS-JBB · Decided March 25, 2026

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION KEDRIEN BOOKER, § § Plaintiff, § § v. § Case No. 5:25-cv-00143-
RWS-JBB § BUCK TAYLOR, et al., § § Defendants. § ORDER Plaintiff Kedrien Booker,
proceeding pro se, filed the above-styled and numbered civil action complaining of alleged
deprivations of his constitutional rights.

Docket No. 1. The case was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge in accordance with 28
U.S.C. § 636. On January 21, 2026, the Magistrate Judge issued a report and recommendation,
recommending that the above lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice for failure to obey an order
of the Court. Docket No. 18.

The Report and Recommendation was mailed to Plaintiff at the address indicated on the docket,
but it was returned as undeliverable with the notation “discharged.” Docket No. 22. Following
notices of change of address, the Report and Recommendation was remailed to Plaintiff. Plaintiff
received a copy of the Report and Recommendation on February 26, 2026, but no objections have
been filed to date.

Docket No. 23. Because no objections have been received, Plaintiff is barred from de novo review
by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon
grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal
conclusions accepted and adopted by the District Court. *Duarte v. City of Lewisville*, 858 F.3d
348, 352 (5th Cir.

2017); *Arriaga v. Laxminarayan*, No. 4:21-CV-00203-RAS, 2021 WL 3287683, at *1 (E.D. Tex.
July 31, 2021). The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report and
Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the
Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is correct. See *United States v. Wilson*, 864
F.2d 1219, 1221 (Sth Cir.

1989) (where no objections to a magistrate judge’s report are filed, the standard of review is
“clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law”). It is accordingly ORDERED that
the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 18) is ADOPTED as the

opinion of the District Court. It is further ORDERED the above-styled civil action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to obey an order of the Court.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of March, 2026. [Dohert LU Lbrpectsr G2. ROBERT W. SCHROEDER ITI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Page 2 of 2