

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Pettus v. Crowley

Pettus · No. 2:25-cv-1006 DJC CSK P · Decided August 25, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Pettus v. Crowley

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 DARRELL H. PETTUS, No. 2:25-cv-1006 DJC CSK P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 J. CROWLEY,

15 Defendant. 16

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking 18 relief under
42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28
U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On July 15, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed
findings and recommendations 21 herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained
notice to Plaintiff that any 22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed
within fourteen 23 days. Plaintiff did not file objections to the findings and recommendations. 24
Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff's copy of the findings and 25 recommendations was
returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's 26 responsibility to keep the court
apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant 27 to Local Rule 182(f), service of
documents at the record address of the party is fully 28 effective. 1 The Court presumes that any
findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United 2 | States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The
Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law 3 | are reviewed de novo. See *Britt v. Simi Valley Unified*
School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 4 | (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the
findings and 5 || recommendations to be supported by the record and by the Magistrate Judge's 6 ||
analysis. In addition, having considered the factors described in *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 7 | 963 F.2d
1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992) the Court finds that dismissal is appropriate. 8 Accordingly, IT IS
HEREBY ORDERED that: 9 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 19) are adopted in
full; 10 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice; and 11 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed
to close this case. 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 | Dated: August 22, 2025 Donel J Hon. Daniel
alabretta

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16 17 jpett25ev1006.802 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/pettus-v-crowley-dkb9qosg>