

SUPREME COURT OF INDIANA

Camplin v. ACandS, Inc.

768 N.E.2d 428 (Ind. 2002) · No. No. 49S02-0202-CV-128 · Decided May 17, 2002

SUMMARY

The Indiana Supreme Court held that a spouse who allegedly contracted an asbestos-related disease from fibers brought home on her husband's clothing had standing as a bystander under Indiana's Product Liability Act. The court reversed the dismissal of the action and directed that it be reinstated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Indiana
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Shepard, Chief Justice; Dickson, Justice; Sullivan, Justice; Boehm, Justice; Rucker, Justice
JURISDICTION	Indiana
DECIDED	May 17, 2002
DOCKET	No. 49S02-0202-CV-128
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The Camplins appealed dismissal of their product-liability action. The Indiana Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction after the Indiana Court of Appeals invited transfer because the appeal presented the same issue as Stegemoller v. ACandS, Inc.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Whether a plaintiff qualifies as a consumer or bystander under the statutory definition is a legal question decided by the court.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published precedential opinion of the Supreme Court of Indiana
PARTIES	Cathleen A. Camplin, Emmett O. Camplin v. ACandS, Inc., et al.

TOPICS

- products liability
- standing
- statutory interpretation
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Products liability
- Torts
- Civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether a person who allegedly contracted an asbestos-related disease from fibers brought home on her husband's person and clothing qualifies as a bystander and therefore has standing as a consumer under Indiana's Product Liability Act.

HOLDINGS

1. A plaintiff who allegedly contracted a disease from asbestos fibers brought home on her husband's person and clothing has standing as a bystander under Indiana's Product Liability Act.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“We accepted jurisdiction, and now hold that Mrs. Camplin has standing as a bystander under the Act.”
(429)

“taking into account the nature of asbestos products, Mrs. Camplin has a cognizable claim as a bystander under the Act.” (429)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Cathleen Camplin allegedly contracted a disease after contacting asbestos fibers brought home on her husband's person and clothing. Her husband, Emmett Camplin, was a union insulator, and the alleged exposure resulted from asbestos products used in that context.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The trial court dismissed the Camplins' suit on the ground that Cathleen Camplin lacked standing under Indiana's Product Liability Act. The Indiana Court of Appeals invited the Indiana Supreme Court to accept jurisdiction, and the Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction and reversed the dismissal.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The dismissal is reversed and the action is directed to be reinstated.

CASES CITED

- Stegemoller v. ACandS, Inc., 749 N.E.2d 1216, 1220 (Ind. Ct. App. 2001)
- Stegemoller v. ACandS, Inc., 761 N.E.2d 423 (Ind. 2001)
- Estate of Shebel v. Yaskawa Elec. Am., Inc., 713 N.E.2d 275, 279 (Ind. 1999)
- Stegemoller v. ACandS, Inc., 767 N.E.2d 974 (Ind. 2002)