

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Columbus Bar Assn. v. Vogel

123 Ohio St. 3d 1213, 2009-Ohio-5938 (2009) · No. 2007-1592 · Decided October 30, 2009

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Ohio reinstated John William Vogel to the practice of law after finding that he had substantially complied with a prior suspension order and the applicable Ohio bar rules. The court placed him on monitored probation for twelve months and established conditions for termination of that probation.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as Columbus Bar Assn. v. Vogel, 123 Ohio St. 3d 1213, 2009-Ohio-5938.] COLUMBUS BAR ASSOCIATION v. VOGEL. [Cite as Columbus Bar Assn. v. Vogel, 123 Ohio St. 3d 1213, 2009-Ohio-5938.] (No. 2007-1592 — Submitted October 20, 2009 — Decided October 30, 2009.) ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT. _____ {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, John William Vogel, Attorney Registration No. 0071169, last known business address in Cleveland, Ohio. {¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of February 14, 2008, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent for a period of two years with the final twelve months stayed on the condition that respondent serve a twelve-month probation, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10) (A).

Therefore, {¶ 3} It is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio and is placed on monitored probation for a period of twelve months. {¶ 4} It is further ordered that on or before thirty days from the date of this order, relator shall file with the Clerk of this court the name of the attorney who will serve as respondent's monitor, in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(9).

It is further ordered that at the end of respondent's probationary period, relator shall file with the Clerk of this court a report indicating whether respondent, during the probationary period, complied with the terms of the probation. {¶ 5} It is further ordered that at the end of the probationary period respondent may apply for termination of probation as provided in Gov.Bar R. SUPREME COURT OF OHIO V(9).

It is further ordered that respondent's probation shall not be terminated until (1) respondent files an application for termination of probation in compliance with Gov.Bar R. V(9)(D), (2) respondent complies with this and all other orders issued by this court, (3) respondent complies with the Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, (4) relator files with the Clerk of this court a report indicating that respondent has complied with the terms of the probation, and (5) this court orders that the probation be terminated. {¶ 6} It is further ordered that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication. {¶ 7} For earlier case, see Columbus Bar Assn. v. Vogel, 117 Ohio St. 3d 108, 2008-Ohio-504, 881 N.E.2d 1244.

MOYER, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'CONNOR, O'DONNELL, LANZINGER, and CUPP, JJ., concur. _____ 2