

ARMED SERVICES BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS

Appeal of DRS Laurel Technologies

ASBCA No. 63303 · No. ASBCA No. 63303 · Decided June 3, 2026

SUMMARY

The Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals sustained the appeal of DRS Laurel Technologies after the parties resolved their dispute and stipulated to judgment. The Board awarded DRS Laurel Technologies \$450,000, inclusive of Contract Disputes Act interest, with no further interest payable.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Thomas P. McLish; J. Reid Prouty; Michael N. O'Connell
JURISDICTION	Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals
DECIDED	June 3, 2026
DOCKET	ASBCA No. 63303
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Contract appeal resolved by the parties through a stipulation and agreement; the parties requested entry of judgment in favor of the appellant.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published; consent judgment with limited precedential value because it does not resolve a contested legal issue.
PARTIES	DRS Laurel Technologies v. United States

TOPICS

- government contracts
- contract disputes act
- administrative law
- damages
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

- government contracts
- contract disputes act
- administrative law
- remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the Board should enter judgment in favor of DRS Laurel Technologies pursuant to the parties' stipulation and agreement.

2. What monetary award should be entered as part of the consent judgment, including treatment of Contract Disputes Act interest.

HOLDINGS

1. The Board sustained the appeal and entered a consent judgment in favor of DRS Laurel Technologies.
2. The Board awarded DRS Laurel Technologies \$450,000, inclusive of Contract Disputes Act interest, and ordered that no further interest be paid.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“In the nature of a consent judgment, the Board makes a monetary award to appellant in the amount of \$450,000. This amount is inclusive of Contract Disputes Act interest. No further interest shall be paid.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The appeal arose from a dispute under Contract No. N00024-15-D-5210. The parties resolved the dispute and agreed that the Board should enter judgment for DRS Laurel Technologies, including a monetary award of \$450,000.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

DRS Laurel Technologies appealed under Contract No. N00024-15-D-5210. The parties resolved the dispute and jointly requested a consent judgment, which the Board entered in favor of the appellant.

DISPOSITION

other

OPINION

DRS Laurel Technologies

PER CURIAM.

ARMED SERVICES BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS

Appeal of -)) DRS Laurel Technologies) ASBCA No. 63303) Under Contract No. N00024-15-D-5210) APPEARANCES FOR THE APPELLANT: Neil H. O'Donnell, Esq. Stephen L. Bacon, Esq. Cindy Lopez, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell San Francisco, CA Deborah N. Rodin, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell Washington, DC APPEARANCES FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Allison M. McDade, Esq. Navy Chief Trial Attorney Devin A. Wolak, Esq. Trial Attorney

OPINION BY ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MCLISH

The parties have resolved their dispute and request that the Board enter judgment in favor of appellant. It is the Board's decision, pursuant to 41 U.S.C. §§ 7105(e), 7108(b), and the parties' stipulation and agreement, that the appeal is sustained. In the nature of a consent judgment, the Board makes a monetary award to appellant in the amount of \$450,000. This amount is inclusive

of Contract Disputes Act interest. No further interest shall be paid. Dated: June 3, 2026

THOMAS P. MCLISH

Administrative Judge Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals (Signatures continued) I concur I concur

J. REID PROUTY MICHAEL N. O'CONNELL

Administrative Judge Administrative Judge Acting Chairman Vice Chairman Armed Services Board Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals of Contract Appeals I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Opinion and Decision of the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals in ASBCA No. 63303, Appeal of DRS Laurel Technologies, rendered in conformance with the Board's Charter. Dated: June 3, 2026

PAULLA K. GATES-LEWIS

Recorder, Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals 2