

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Charles Quick v. Centres Villa Limited Partnership, et al.

Quick · No. 1:25-cv-00037-FRS (EPG) · Decided January 13, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California ordered that defendant Wal-Mart Realty Company be terminated from the action following the parties’ joint stipulation of dismissal with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i). The case proceeds against the remaining defendants.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	January 13, 2026
DOCKET	1:25-cv-00037-FRS (EPG)
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff and defendant Wal-Mart Realty Company filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice as to Wal-Mart Realty Company under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i).
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; no precedential designation appears in the opinion.

TOPICS

civil procedure commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

Civil procedure Commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the joint stipulation required termination of Wal-Mart Realty Company under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

HOLDINGS

1. A joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice as to Wal-Mart Realty Company under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) terminated that defendant by operation of law without further court order.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, defendant Wal-Mart Realty Company is terminated by operation of law without further order from the Court.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Charles Quick brought an action against multiple defendants, including Wal-Mart Realty Company. On January 9, 2026, Quick and Wal-Mart Realty Company filed a joint stipulation of dismissal with prejudice as to that defendant alone.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The plaintiff and Wal-Mart Realty Company jointly stipulated to dismissal with prejudice as to Wal-Mart Realty Company only. The court ordered the Clerk to terminate that defendant, while the action continued against the remaining defendants.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8
CHARLES QUICK,? Case No. 1:25-cv-00037-FRS (EPG) Plaintiff, 10 | ORDER RE: NOTICE
OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITH PREJUDICE 12 || CENTRES VILLA
LIMITED AS TO DEFENDANT WAL-MART REALTY PARTNERSHIP, et al., COMPANY 13
Defendants. 14 (ECF No. 62) 15 16 On January 9, 2026, Plaintiff Charles Quick and defendant
Wal-Mart Realty Company 7 filed a joint stipulation of dismissal with prejudice as to only
defendant Wal-Mart Realty 18 Company pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)
(i).

(ECF No. 62). 19 Therefore, defendant Wal-Mart Realty Company is terminated by operation of
law without 50 further order from the Court. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th
Cir. 1997). > Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate only defendant
Wal-Mart Realty Company on the docket. 53 Plaintiff's case now proceeds against Defendants

Centres Villa Limited Partnership, Simon-Oakley Town Center LLC, Blue Whale Capital LLC, and Centres Clovis Aldi, Ltd. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 || Dated: _ January 13, 2026 [spe ey UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2026/charles-quick-v-centres-villa-limited-partnership-et-al-dw1h3rvk>