

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Gordon v. Cooper

Gordon · No. 2:24-cv-2741 CSK P · Decided June 12, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Gordon v. Cooper

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 DUSTIN THOMAS GORDON, No. 2:24-cv-2741 CSK P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS &

RECOMMENDATIONS

14 JIM COOPER, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed May 1, 2025, plaintiff’s amended
complaint was dismissed, and thirty days 18 leave to file a second amended complaint was
granted. Thirty days from that date have now 19 passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second
amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the 20 court’s order. 21 In accordance with the
above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is 22 directed to assign a district
judge to this case; and 23 IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
prejudice. See Local Rule 24 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 25 These findings and recommendations
are submitted to the United States District Judge 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the
provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 27 after being served with these findings
and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 28 with the court and serve a copy on
all parties. Such a document should be captioned 1 | “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that 2 || failure to file objections within the specified
time may waive the right to appeal the District 3 || Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
(9th Cir. 1991). 4 5 || Dated: June 12, 2025 6 Aan Spe

CHI SOO KIM

7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

g /V/gord274 1 fta 9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28