

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Rivera v. O'Reilly Auto Enterprises LLC

Rivera · No. 1:24-cv-00333-JLT-SAB · Decided February 28, 2025

SUMMARY

This document is an order entering the parties’ stipulated protective order in Alexis Rivera v. O’Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC, Case No. 1:24-cv-00333-JLT-SAB, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California. It governs the designation, use, disclosure, challenge, filing under seal, and final disposition of confidential discovery material.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Stanley A. Boone
DECIDED	February 28, 2025
DOCKET	1:24-cv-00333-JLT-SAB
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties submitted a stipulated protective order governing confidential discovery material, and the magistrate judge entered it by court order.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court required good cause or compelling reasons to support a request to file material under seal, depending on the type of filing.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Alexis Rivera v. O'Reilly Auto Enterprises LLC

TOPICS

discovery dispute civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure employment law

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should enter the parties' stipulated protective order governing confidential discovery material.
2. What showing is required for a party to file protected material under seal.

HOLDINGS

1. The court entered the parties' stipulated protective order and ordered that its provisions remain in effect until further order of the court.
2. A party seeking to file protected material under seal must comply with Eastern District of California Local Rule 141 and must show either good cause or compelling reasons, depending on the type of filing.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The party making a request to file documents under seal shall be required to show either good cause or compelling reasons to seal the documents, depending on the type of filing, Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass’n, 605 F.3d 665, 677-78 (9th Cir. 2009); Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1101 (9th Cir. 2016);” (Court Order Entering Stipulated Protective Order, ¶ 4)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The parties anticipated that discovery would involve confidential, proprietary, or private information. They stipulated to procedures limiting the designation, use, disclosure, challenge, retention, and destruction of protected discovery material. The court found good cause to enter the stipulated protective order.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This employment-related civil action was pending in the Eastern District of California. The parties jointly stipulated to a protective order concerning confidential, proprietary, private, privileged, and otherwise protected discovery material. On February 28, 2025, the court entered the stipulated protective order and advised that requests to file documents under seal must comply with Local Rule 141.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665, 677-78 (9th Cir. 2009)
- Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1101 (9th Cir. 2016)