

**COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT TEXARKANA**

Matthew Riddle v. the State of Texas

Matthew Riddle v. State of Texas, No. 06-25-00089-CR (Tex. App.—Texarkana Jan. 30, 2026) (mem. op.) · No.
06-25-00089-CR · Decided January 30, 2026

SUMMARY

The Sixth Court of Appeals of Texas at Texarkana affirmed Matthew Riddle’s conviction for child grooming and the sentence of ten years’ imprisonment and a \$10,000 fine. The court overruled his constitutional challenge to Texas Penal Code Section 15.032 as inadequately briefed, consistent with its reasoning in a companion appeal.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

In the Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana No. 06-25-00089-CR MATTHEW RIDDLE, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee On Appeal from the 202nd District Court Bowie County, Texas Trial Court No. 24F0337-202 Before Stevens, C.J., van Cleef and Rambin, JJ. Memorandum Opinion by Chief Justice Stevens MEMORANDUM OPINION A Bowie County jury convicted Matthew Riddle of child grooming and assessed a punishment of ten years’ imprisonment with a \$10,000.00 fine.

See TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 15.032 (Supp.). Riddle appeals from this conviction, as well as another conviction for child grooming in his companion appellate case numbered 06-25-00088-CR and a conviction for online solicitation of a minor in companion appellate case number 06-25-00090-CR. See *id.*; TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 33.021 (Supp.). Riddle’s appeal in this case raises the same issue raised in his appeal from 06-25-00088- CR.

Specifically, Riddle argues that Section “15.032 is unconstitutional and [the] indictment[] under that statute should be dismissed because [it] violate[s] the first amendment [sic] and [is] vague and overbroad.” For the same reasons discussed in 06-25-00088-CR, we overrule Riddle’s point of error as inadequately briefed.

We affirm the trial court’s judgment. Scott E. Stevens Chief Justice Date Submitted: January 29, 2026 Date Decided: January 30, 2026 Do Not Publish 2