

INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

Beselt v. Waldorf=Astoria Management LLC

Beselt · No. CAAP-23-0000695 · Decided February 19, 2026

SUMMARY

The Hawai‘i Intermediate Court of Appeals denied Ronda Beselt’s motion for reconsideration of its January 30, 2026 summary disposition order. The court concluded that the motion identified no point of law or fact that it had overlooked or misapprehended under Hawai‘i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(b), and dismissed all other pending motions.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Katherine G. Leonard; Keith K. Hiraoka; Sonja M.P. McCullen
JURISDICTION	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
DECIDED	February 19, 2026
DOCKET	CAAP-23-0000695
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff-Appellant moved for reconsideration of the Intermediate Court of Appeals' January 30, 2026 Summary Disposition Order.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Ronda Beselt v. Waldorf=Astoria Management LLC, Department of Land and Natural Resources, State of Hawai‘i

TOPICS

- motion for reconsideration
- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Beselt's motion for reconsideration should be granted under Hawai‘i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 40(b).

HOLDINGS

1. The motion for reconsideration was denied because it presented no point of law or fact that the court had overlooked or misapprehended.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The Motion for Reconsideration presents no point of law or fact that the court overlooked or misapprehended.” (2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The order concerns appellate proceedings arising from Beselt's appeal against Waldorf=Astoria Management LLC and the Department of Land and Natural Resources, State of Hawai‘i. The court's ruling addressed only Beselt's motion for reconsideration of the prior summary disposition order and did not resolve the underlying substantive facts.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Beselt appealed from the Circuit Court of the Second Circuit. The Intermediate Court of Appeals previously issued a Summary Disposition Order on January 30, 2026. Beselt moved for reconsideration, and the court denied the motion because it identified no point of law or fact that the court had overlooked or misapprehended; the court also dismissed all other pending motions.

DISPOSITION

other