

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
NEW YORK

The Village of Endicott v. International Business Machines
Corporation (IBM)

Village of Endicott v. IBM · No. 7:24-cv-09242-NSR · Decided December 3, 2025

SUMMARY

This document consists primarily of a December 2, 2025 letter from the Village of Endicott opposing IBM’s renewed request to stay discovery pending resolution of IBM’s motion to dismiss. The Village argues that a stay would cause prejudice and that the complexity of the environmental contamination claims supports proceeding with discovery. The document also includes a December 3, 2025 court order stating that the action is stayed pending resolution of the motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Nelson S. Roman
DECIDED	December 3, 2025
DOCKET	7:24-cv-09242-NSR
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff opposed Defendant's request to stay discovery pending resolution of Defendant's motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint. The court stayed the action pending resolution of the motion to dismiss.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court considered whether good cause supported a discretionary stay of discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure
- environmental law
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- environmental law
- commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether discovery should be stayed pending resolution of IBM's motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint.

HOLDINGS

1. The action, including discovery, is stayed pending resolution of Defendant's motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Village brought an environmental-damage action against IBM involving alleged contamination and technically complex issues concerning chemicals in groundwater and soil. IBM moved to dismiss the First Amended Complaint and sought a stay of discovery while that motion was pending. The Village opposed the stay, but the court ordered the action stayed pending resolution of the motion to dismiss.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

IBM filed a motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint and requested a stay of discovery. The Village opposed the stay and requested a Rule 26(f) conference or an order directing pre-Rule 26(f) discovery. The court ordered that the action be stayed pending resolution of IBM's motion to dismiss.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Transunion Corp. v. PepsiCo, Inc., 811 F.2d 127, 130 (2d Cir. 1987)
- In re Chase Manhattan Corp. Securities Litigation, 1991 WL 79432, at *1, 1991 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6136, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. May 7, 1991)
- Hachette Distribution, Inc. v. Hudson County News Co., 136 F.R.D. 356, 358 (E.D.N.Y. 1991)
- Moran v. Flaherty, No. 92 CIV. 3200 (PKL), 1992 WL 276913, at *1-*2 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 25, 1992)