

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Adeyemi v. Social Security Administration

Adeyemi · No. Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-03517 (CJN) · Decided May 4, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Columbia adopted the magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation after neither party filed an objection. The court construed the Social Security Administration’s motion to dismiss or, alternatively, for summary judgment as a motion for summary judgment and granted it, denied the plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction, and ordered the case terminated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Carl J. Nichols
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
DECIDED	May 4, 2026
DOCKET	Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-03517 (CJN)
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. Neither party objected before the deadline expired. The court adopted the Report and Recommendation in full, construed the defendant's motion to dismiss or, alternatively, for summary judgment as a motion for summary judgment, granted it, denied the plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, and terminated the case.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court reviewed the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation under Local Civil Rule 72.3(b) because no objections were filed.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published

TOPICS

- summary judgment
- motions to dismiss
- injunctions
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

social security

administrative law

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should adopt the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation in the absence of objections.
2. Whether the defendant's motion to dismiss or, alternatively, motion for summary judgment should be construed as a motion for summary judgment and granted.
3. Whether the plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction should be denied.

HOLDINGS

1. The court adopted the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation in full as the findings and conclusions of the court after the objection period expired without objections.
2. The defendant's motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion for summary judgment was construed as a motion for summary judgment and granted.
3. The plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction was denied.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"This is a final appealable order."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive factual background and resolves the matter by adopting the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. The parties had pending dispositive and injunctive motions.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Magistrate Judge Meriweather issued a Report and Recommendation addressing the parties' claims and pending motions. Neither party filed objections. District Judge Carl J. Nichols adopted the Report and Recommendation in full, granted the defendant's motion for summary judgment, denied the plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, and entered a final appealable order.

DISPOSITION

other

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA JAMES ADEYEMI, Plaintiff, v. Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-03517 (CJN) SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, Defendant. ORDER Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of

Magistrate Judge Meriweather. ECF No. 18. Neither party has lodged an objection and the deadline to do so—which Magistrate Judge Meriweather expressly identified in the Report and Recommendation, see *id.* at 23—has expired.

See LCvR 72.3(b). Having reviewed the findings and conclusions in Magistrate Judge Meriweather’s report and having considered the recommended disposition of the parties’ claims and pending motions, the Court adopts in full the report and recommendation as the findings and conclusions of this Court.

Accordingly, upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation, the absence of objections, and the entire record herein, it is hereby ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is adopted by the Court; it is further ORDERED that Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 7, is construed as the latter and is GRANTED; and it is further ORDERED that Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction, ECF No. 11, is DENIED.

This is a final appealable order. The Clerk’s Office is directed to terminate this case. DATE: May 4, 2026 CARL J. NICHOLS United States District Judge