

INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

**West Physicians Associates, LLC v. Agrifina Quiane a/k/a Agrifina
Corpuz Quiane, MD**

West Physicians · No. CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX · Decided March 25, 2026

OPINION

West Physicians Associates, LLC v. Quiane

PER CURIAM.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAII REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Electronically Filed Intermediate Court of Appeals

CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX

25-MAR-2026

08:39 AM Dkt. 10 OGMD

NO. CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

WEST PHYSICIANS ASSOCIATES, LLC, Plaintiff-Appellee, v.

AGRIFINA QUIANE a/k/a AGRIFINA CORPUZ QUIANE, MD,

Defendant-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT

EWA DIVISION

(CASE NO. 1DRC-XX-XXXXXXX)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL

(By: Nakasone, Chief Judge, Hiraoka and Wadsworth, JJ.) Upon consideration of the Motion to Dismiss Defendant- Appellant's Interlocutory Appeal Filed on February 27, 2026 [ICA dkt. 1], filed by Plaintiff-Appellee West Physicians Associates, LLC (WPA) on March 2, 2026, the papers in support, and the record, and noting no opposition, it appears that Defendant- Appellant Agrifina Quiane a/k/a Agrifina Corpuz Quiane, MD, representing herself, appeals from the February 17, 2026 Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt Sanctions Filed December 17, 2025 [Dkt. 172] entered by the District Court of the First Circuit, #Ewa Division. WPA seeks dismissal of the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. The district court has not entered an appealable order. The Order is not an appealable collateral order because it does

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAII REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

not require Quiane to pay a specific amount by a specific day. *Siangco v. Kasadate*, 77 Hawaii#i 157, 161-62, 883 P.2d 78, 82

(1994). The Order is not appealable under the Forgay doctrine,

and the district court did not grant leave for an interlocutory appeal. See Greer v. Baker, 137 Hawai#i 249, 253, 369 P.3d 832, 836 (2016) (setting forth requirements for appealability under Forgay doctrine); HRS § 641-1(b) (governing interlocutory appeals). Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted, and this appeal is dismissed. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all pending motions are dismissed. DATED: Honolulu, Hawai#i, March 25, 2026. /s/ Karen T. Nakasone Chief Judge /s/ Keith K. Hiraoka Associate Judge /s/ Clyde J. Wadsworth Associate Judge 2